13th INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM MODERN
TRENDS
IN LIVESTOCK
PRODUCTION



6 - 8 October 2021, Belgrade, Serbia

# Institute for Animal Husbandry

Belgrade - Zemun, SERBIA

13th INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM MODERN
TRENDS
IN LIVESTOCK
PRODUCTION



6-8 October 2021, Belgrade, Serbia

# **PATRON**

Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia

## **ORGANIZER**

Institute for Animal Husbandry Autoput 16, P. Box. 23, 11080, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Tel: +381 11 2691 611; +381 11 2670 121; +381 11 2670 541; Fax: +381 11 2670 164;

#### **PUBLISHER**

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Editor-in-Chief Čedomir Radović, PhD, Senior Research associate Director of the Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun

#### **Editor**

Zdenka Škrbić, PhD, Principal Research Fellow Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun

The Proceedings is printed by the Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade, 2021

biotechnology.izs@gmail.com www.istocar.bg.ac.rs Circulation 100 copies.



ISBN 978-86-82431-77-0

# INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

**CHAIRMAN** 

Prof. Dr. Giacomo Biagi,

Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences, University of Bologna, Italy

**SECRETARY** 

Dr. Čedomir Radović,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

**MEMBERS** 

Dr. Zdenka Škrbić,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Dr. Veselin Petričević,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Marjeta Čandek-Potokar, Agricultural Institute of Slovenia, Slovenia

Dr. Giuseppe Bee,

Agroscope Posieux, Posieux, Switzerland

Prof. Dr. Elena Kistanova,

 $Institute\ of\ Biology\ and\ Immunology\ of\ Reproduction\ "Kiril\ Bratanov",$ 

Sofia, Bulgaria

Prof. Dr. Stayka Laleva,

Agricultural Institute, Stara Zagora, Bulgaria

Prof. Dr. Galia Zamaratskaia,

Department of Molecular Sciences, BioCenter, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden

Dr. Miloš Lukić,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Dr. Vlada Pantelić,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Maya Ignatova,

Institute of Animal Science, Kostinbrod, Bulgaria

Dr. Dragana Ružić-Muslić,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Marina I. Selionova,

FSBSI-All-Russian Scientific Research Institute of Sheep and Goat Breeding,

Stavropol, Russia

Prof. Dr. Radica Đedović,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia



Prof. Dr. Slavča Hristov, University of Belgrade Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia Dr. Dušica Ostojić Andrić, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Prof. Dr. Lidiia Perić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Agriculture Novi Sad, Serbia Prof. Dr. Wladyslav Migdal, Department of Animal Product Technology, University of Agriculture in Kraków, Poland Prof. Dr. Danijela Kirovski, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Serbia Prof. Dr. Yalcin Bozkurt, Isparta University of Applied Science, Department of Animal Science, Isparta, Turkey Dr. Snežana Mladenović Drinić, Maize Research Institute "Zemun Polje", Zemun Polje, Serbia Prof. Dr. Randelin Dmitry Alexandrovich, Faculty of Biotechnology and Veterinary Medicine, Volgograd State Agricultural University, Russia Assoc. Prof. Itskovich Aleksandr Yuryevich, Faculty of Biotechnology and Veterinary Medicine, Volgograd State Agricultural University, Russia Prof. Dr. Dragan Radojković, University of Belgrade Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia

Prof. Dr. **Milun Petrović,** University of Kragujevac Faculty of Agronomy, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Dragan Glamočić,

 $University\ of\ Novi\ Sad,\ Faculty\ of\ Agriculture,\ Serbia$ 

Prof. Dr. Snežana Trivunović,

University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia Prof. Dr. **Predrag Perišić**,

Prot. Dr. **Prearag Perisic,** University of Relarade Faculty of Aas

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia Prof. Dr. **Vladan Bogdanović**,

Illnivercity of Relarade Faculty of Agriculty

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia

Dr. Violeta Caro Petrović,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Prof. Dr. **Zoran Ilić,** 

University of Pristina, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Lešak, Serbia



Dr. Nevena Maksimović,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Dr. Ivan Pavlović,

Scientific Veterinary Institute of Serbia, Serbia

Dr. Aleksandar Stanojković,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Nenad Đorđević,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia

Dr. Zorica Bijelić,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Dr. Violeta Mandić,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Yusup A. Yuldashbaev,

Russian State Agrarian University,

Moscow Timiryazev Agricultural Academy,

Faculty of Animal Science and Biology, Russia

Prof. Dr. Pero Mijić,

Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek,

Faculty of Agrobiotechnical Sciences, Osijek, Croatia

Prof. Dr. Zoran Luković,

University of Zagreb Faculty of Agriculture,

Department of Animal Science and Technology, Croatia Asist.

Asist. Prof. Dubravko Škorput,

University of Zagreb Faculty of Agriculture,

Department of Animal Science and Technology, Croatia

Prof. Dr. Ivan Radović,

University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Aleksandar Simić,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Nikola Pacinovski,

Ss Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje,

Institute of Animal Science, North Macedonia

Prof. Dr. Yessenbay Islamov,

Kazakh National Agrarian University, Kazakhstan

Prof. Dr. Ricmar P. Aquino,

University President, Isabela State University, Philippines

Prof. Dr. Rosa Nieto,

Departament of Physiology and Biochemistry of Animal Nutrition Estacion

Experimental del Zaidín, CSIC Armilla, Granada, Spain



Dr. **Juan M. García Casco,** Departamento Mejora Genética Animal, INIA, Madrid, Spain

Dr. Slavica Stanković,

Maize Research Institute "Zemun Polje", Zemun Polje, Serbia

Dr. Vesna S. Krnjaja,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Rui Miguel Carracha Charneca,

Universidade de Évora, Escola de Ciências e Tecnologia,

Instituto de Ciências Agrárias e Ambientais Mediterrânicas (ICAAM), Évora,

Portugal
Dr. Jean-Louis Peyraud,

INRA, UMR PEGASE, France



# **ORGANIZING COMMITTEE**

**CHAIRMAN** 

Dr. Čedomir Radović,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

**SECRETARY** 

Dr. Dragan Nikšić,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

**MEMBERS** 

Dr. Maja Petričević,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Dr. Marina Lazarević,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Dr. Nikola Delić,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Dr. Ljiljana Samolovac,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Dr. Marija Gogić,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia



# SYMPOSIUM SECRETARIAT

#### CHAIRMAN

Dr. Dragan Nikšić,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

#### **MEMBERS**

Slavko Maletić, grad. econ.

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Olga Devečerski, grad. prof.

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Nenad Mićić, MSc,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Bogdan Cekić, MSc,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Miloš Marinković, MSc,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Vladimir Živković, BSc,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Nenad Stojiljković, BSc,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Ivan Ćosić, BSc,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Tamara Stamenić, BSc,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun



## Address:

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Autoput 16, P. Box 23, 11080, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

#### Tel:

+381 11 2691 611

+381 11 2670 121

+381 11 2670 541

#### Fax:

+381 11 2670 164

E-mail: biotechnology.izs@gmail.com www.istocar.bg.ac.rs



# **CONTENTS**

# **INVITED PAPERS**

Marjeta Candek-Potokar, Giuseppe Bee	
NEW TRENDS IN PUBLISHING RESEARCH AND	
TRANSFERRING THE KNOWLEDGE ON ANIMAL	
PRODUCTION (Slovenia-Switzerland)	1-10
Vesna Gantner, Denis Kučević, Muhamed Brka	
AGRICULTURE AND ANIMAL PRODUCTION – FROM A	
FOUNDER OF CIVILIZATION TO A FAILURE OR	
SUSTAINABILITY (Croatia-Serbia-Bosnia and Herzegovina)	11-22
Giuseppe Bee, Catherine Ollagnier	
IMPACT OF NUTRIENT SELF-SUPPLY THROUGH CHOICE	
FEEDING ON GROWTH PERFORMANCE, FEEDING	
BEHAVIOUR AND PROTEIN EFFICIENCY IN GROWING	
FINISHING PIGS (Switzerland)	23-45
Pero Mijić, Zdenko Ivkić, Tina Bobić	
RESEARCH OF PRODUCTION RESULTS IN THE	
TRANSITION FROM CONVENTIONAL TO ROBOTIC	
MILKING (Croatia)	46-55
Liliana Camalana Clauxa Ilaiatan Duxiaa Oataii! Andai! Illada	
Ljiljana Samolovac, Slavča Hristov, Dušica Ostojić Andrić, Vlada	
Pantelić, Dragan Nikšić, Dragan Stanojević, Tamara Stamenić ASSESSMENT OF BIOSECURITY AND WELFARE OF	
	56-72
CALVES REARED IN INTENSIVE HOUSING SYSTEM (Serbia).	30-72
Marina Lazarević, Nevena Maksimović, Nenad Mićić, Miloš	
Marinković, Vlada Pantelić, Dragan Nikšić, Dragan Stanojević	
THE ASSESSMENT OF BREEDING VALUE OF FIRST	
CALVING HOLSTEIN - FRISIAN HEIFERS BY APPLYING	
SELECTION INDEX METHODOLOGY (Serbia)	73-86
Violeta Caro Petrović, Milan P. Petrović, Dragana Ružić-Muslić,	
Nevena Maksimović, Marina I. Selionova, Bogdan Cekić, Ivan Ćosić	
PARITY EFFECT ON LAMBING RATE AND LAMBS BIRTH	
WEIGHT (Serbia-Russia)	87-95
Nikola Metodiev, PenkaMoneva, Ivan Yanchev, Kostadin Kanchev	
HEMATOLOGICAL STATUS OF ILE DE FRANCE SHEEP	
DEPENDING ON THEIR BODY CONDITION SCORE (Bulgaria).	96-102
- ( a 8 a a)	

Nevena Maksimović, Dragana Ružić-Muslić, Violeta Caro Petrović, Bogdan Cekić, Ivan Ćosić, Nikola Delić, Marina Lazarević CURRENT STATE OF GOAT BREEDING IN CENTRAL SERBIA (Serbia)	103-116
Marina I. Selionova, Tatyana V. Mamontova, Ali-Magomet M. Aybazov, Violeta Caro Petrović, Milan P. Petrović QUALITY OF ABORIGENOUS KARACHAY GOAT MEAT	
UNDER DIFFERENT CONDITIONS (Russia-Serbia)  Nataša Tolimir, Zdenka Skrbić, Marijana Maslovarić, Miloš Lukić, Dragan Milić  THE IMPORTANCE OF EGGS IN THE DIET, CONSUMER	117-123
PREFERENCES, THE PRODUCTION AND MARKET OF TABLE EGGS IN SERBIA (Serbia)	126-148
Radomir Savić, Vesna Davidović, Ivana Božičković ASSESSMENT OF FERTILITY OF BOARS – DIFFERENT APPROACHES (Serbia)	149-162
Zoran Luković, Danijel Karolyi, Sven Menčik, Dubravko Škorput EFFECT OF BIRTH WEIGHT ON SURVIVAL AND GROWTH PERFORMANCE OF PIGLETS FROM LARGE LITTERS (Croatia)	163-172
Patricia Palma-Granados, Ignacio Fernández-Fígares, Isabel Seiquer, Manuel Lachica, Luis Lara, Ana Haro, Rosa Nieto PERFORMANCE, METABOLIC AND MEAT QUALITY IMPLICATIONS OF IMMUNOCASTRATION IN IBERIAN PIGS (Spain)	173-184
Galia Zamaratskaia, Andriy Getya RAISING MALE PIGS AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO SURGICAL CASTRATION (Sweden-Ukraine)	185-194
Władysław Migdał, Rafał Duś, Maria Walczycka, Łukasz Migdał SILESIAN PIGS' FATTENERS - THE IDEA FOR HAELTHY PIG AND HIGH QUALITY PORK MEAT (Poland)	195-207
Marija Gogić, Nenad Katanić, Vladimir Živković, Nenad Stojiljković, Violeta Mandić, Maja Petričević, Radomir Savić LIFE DAILY GAIN OF INDIGENOUS PIG BREEDS IN SERBIA	208-216
(Serbia)	200-210

Nikola Delić, Dragan Nikšić, Maja Petričević, Aleksandar	
Stanojković, Vladimir Živković, Marina Lazarević, Nevena	
Maksimović	
THE EFFECT OF PHYTOGENIC ADDITIVES ON THE	
DEGREE OF BACTERIAL INFECTION B. HYODYSENTERIAE	217-226
IN WEANED PIGLETS (Serbia)	217-220
Costanza Delsante, Carlo Pinna, Federica Sportelli, Claudio	
Stefanelli, Carla G. Vecchiato, Giacomo Biagi	
ASSESSMENT OF THE EFFECTS OF EDIBLE MICROALGAE	
IN A CANINE GUT MODEL (Italy)	227-242
Yalcin Bozkurt, Serkan Ozkaya, Sukran Kuleasan	
THE EFFECTS OF PRE-SLAUGHTER RESTING PERIODS ON	
CARCASS AND MEAT QUALITY DETERMINED BY DIGITAL	
IMAGE ANALYSIS (Turkey)	243-251
Nikola Stanišić, Milo Mujović, Slaviša Stajić, Maja Petričević,	
Čedomir Radović, Marija Gogić, Aleksandar Stanojković	
TECHNO-FUNCTIONAL PROPERTIES OF THREE DIETARY	
FIBERS USED IN THE MEAT PROCESSING INDUSTRY	
(Netherlands-Serbia)	252-262
Slaviša Stajić, Ana Kalušević	
TECHNOLOGICAL AND SENSORY PROPERTIES OF	
SERBIAN TRADITIONAL MINCED MEAT PRODUCT ĆEVAPI	
WITH IMPROVED NUTRITIONAL PROPERTIES (Serbia)	263-274
Maria Data Yanif Tananan Gunanaif Dananan Militir Liilinaa	203 27 1
Maja Petričević, Tamara Stamenić, Dragan Nikšić, Ljiljana	
Samolovac, Veselin Petričević, Marija Gogić, Violeta Mandić EXAMINATION OF CERTAIN OF BEEF MEAT QUALITY	
TRAITS UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF FLAXSEED DIET	
(Serbia)	
(Seroia)	275-286
Vesna Dragičević, Milena Simić, Milan Brankov, Milena Šenk,	
Vesna Krnjaja, Violeta Mandić, Branka Kresović	
BIOFORTIFICATION AS A WAY OF NUTRIENT DENSE FEED	
PRODUCTION (Serbia)	287-307
Jordan Marković, Jasmina Milenković, Snežana Anđelković	
ALFALFA AND RED CLOVER AS A PROTEIN SOURCE FOR	
RUMINANTS (Serbia)	308-321

Violeta Mandić, Snežana Đorđević, Zorica Bijelić, Vesna Krnjaja,	
Aleksandar Simić, Marija Gogić, Maja Petričević	222 22/
INOCULATION OF MAIZE WITH PGPR (Serbia)	322-334
Maya M. Ignatova, Nadezhda M. Sertova	
MYCOTOXIN CONTAMINATION OF CEREAL GRAINS IN	
BULGARIA (Bulgaria)	335-345
ORAL PRESENTATION	
Slavča Hristov, Branislav Stanković, Dušica Ostojić Andrić,	
Ljiljana Samolovac, Nevena Maksimović, Marko Cincović, Dimitar	
Nakov	
NFLUENCE OF CATTLE BREEDING CONDITIONS ON	
REPRODUCTION, GROWTH, MILK YIELD AND MEAT AND	246.266
MILK QUALITY (Serbia-North Macedonia)	346-362
van Pavlović, Violeta Caro Petrović, Dragana Ružić Muslić, Jovan	
Bojkovski, Nemanja Zdravković, Renata Relić, Vukašin Stefanović	
GASTROINTESTINAL HELMINTHS OF SHEEP BREED IN	
POMORAVSKI AND RASINA DISTRICT (Serbia)	363-370
ovan Bojkovski, Marina Spinu, Mihaela Niculae, Jasna Prodanov-	
Radulović, Aleksandar Stanojković, Ivan Pavlović, Nemanja	
Zdravković, Radiša Prodanović	
PRODUCTION RELATED DISEASES (TECHNOPATHIES) IN	
SWINE COMMERCIAL FARM (Serbia-Romania)	371-390
Teodora Popova, Nadezhda Palova, Jivko Nakev, Maya Ignatova	
CHEMICAL COMPOSITION AND FATTY ACID PROFILE OF	
TWO TRADITIONAL BULGARIAN DRY-CURED MEAT	
PRODUCTS MADE OF EAST BALKAN PIG (Bulgaria)	391-404
POSTER PRESENTATION	
Branislav Stanković, Slavča Hristov, Dušica Ostojić Andrić,	
Milošević-Stanković Ivana, Dimitar Nakov, Rašović Bojanić	
Mirjana	
PRECISION FARMING IN IMPROVEMENT OF DAIRY	
CATTLE WELFARE (Serbia-North Macedonia)	405-421
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Tina Bobić, Andrea Bejteš, Pero Mijić, Vesna Gantner, Maja Gregić LAMENESS DETECTION IN CATTLE USING ICT TECHNOLOGY (Croatia)	422-433
Mirna Gavran, Danko Šinka, Vesna Gantner THE EFFECT OF SEASON ON THE ESTIMATED AMMONIA EMISSION OF HOLSTEIN FIRST PARITY COWS (Croatia)	434-441
Franjo Poljak, Marija Špehar, Zvonimir Steiner, Mirna Gavran, Vesna Gantner	
THE VARIABILITY IN THE ESTIMATED PREVALENCE RISK OF METABOLIC DISORDERS (KETOSIS/ACIDOSIS) IN SIMMENTAL FIRST PARITY COWS DUE TO RECORDING SEASON (Croatia)	442-450
Ivana Jožef, Dragan Solić, Zvonimir Steiner, Vesna Gantner THE EFFECT OF RECORDING SEASON ON THE ESTIMATED PREVALENCE RISK OF MASTITIS IN SIMENTAL FIRST PARITY COWS (Croatia)	451-457
Marinela Enculescu INVESTIGATIONS ON HAEMATO-BIOCHEMICAL INDICATORS IN ROMANIAN BLACK AND SPOTTED DAIRY COWS WITH RETAINED PLACENTA – PRELIMINARY RESULTS (Romania)	458-467
Zhivko I. Duchev ASSESSMENT OF THE RISK STATUS OF FOUR LOCAL BULGARIAN BREEDS BASED ON THEIR GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION (Bulgaria)	468-476
Tamara Papović, Denis Kučević, Miroslav Plavšić, Snežana Trivunović, Ksenija Čobanović PREDICTION OF AMMONIUM EMISSION FROM DAIRY CATTLE BASED ON MILK UREA NITROGEN USING THE PRECISION FARMING METHODOLOGY (Serbia)	477-486
Dragana Ružić-Muslić, Bogdan Cekić, Ivan Ćosić, Ivan Pavlović, Nevena Maksimović, Violeta Caro Petrović, Zorica Bijelić HEMATOLOGICAL AND BIOCHEMICAL BLOOD PARAMETERS OF PIROT PRAMENKA - ENDANGERED SHEEP POPULATION (Serbia)	497 400
STILLE I OF CLATION (SCIUIA)	487-499

Bogdan Cekić, Dragana Ružić Muslić, Nevena Maksimović, Violeta Caro Petrović, Ivan Ćosić, Tamara Stamenić, Madlena Andreeva IMPORTANCE, PRODUCTIVITY AND POTENTIALS OF LOCAL SERBIAN SHEEP BREEDS (Serbia-Bulgaria)	500-508
Rossen Stefanov, Madlena Andreeva STUDY OF THE SPERM PARAMETERS OF RAMS' EJACULATES OBTAINED IN THE BREEDING AND NON- BREEDING PERIOD (Bulgaria)	509-515
Vladimir Dosković, Snežana Bogosavljević-Bošković, Božidar Milošević, Zdenka Škrbić, Miloš Lukić, Simeon Rakonjac, Veselin Petričević	
EFFECTS OF GENOTYPE AND PROTEASE ENZYME SUPPLEMENTATION ON THE PRIMAL CARCASS CUTS OF CHICKENS (Serbia)	516-523
Marija Pavlović, Ksenija Nešić, Aleksandra Tasić, Nikola Čobanović, Mihajlo Vićentijević, Ivan Pavlović IMPACT OF INORGANIC PHOSPHORUS IN BROILERS DIET ON BONE MINERALIZATION (Serbia)	524-531
Aleksandar Pavličević, Ivan Pavlović, Nemanja Zdravković, Luis Francisco Angeli Alves SUGGESTED APPROACH TO RED POULTRY MITE CONTROL IN EXTENSIVE POULTRY PRODUCTION (Serbia-Brazil)	532-540
Maja Gregić, Mirjana Baban, Pero Mijić, Vesna Gantner, Tina Bobić THE CHALLENGES OF JUMPING HORSES THROUGH THE	332 3 10
TRAINING (Croatia)	541-551
Savić-Radovanović, Nataša Rajić Savić, Đordje Marjanović, Jovan Bojkovski ACTIVITY OF SOME PLANT ESSENTIAL OILS AGAINST COMMON ISOLATES IN VETERINARY BACTERIOLOGY - A PILOT STUDY (Serbia)	552-560
Urška Tomažin, Klavdija Poklukar, Martin Škrlep, Nina Batorek Lukač, Marjeta Čandek-Potokar THE EFFECT OF RYR1 GENE ON MEAT QUALITY IN AUTOCHTHONOUS BREED KRŠKOPOLJE PIG (Slovenia)	561-571
(212.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	

Klavdija Poklukar, Marjeta Čandek-Potokar, Milka Vrecl Fazarinc, Nina Batorek Lukač, Gregor Fazarinc, Kevin Kress, Volker	
Stefanski, Martin Škrlep	
EFFECTS OF ANDROGEN DEPRIVATION ON	
HISTOMORPHOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF FAT TISSUE IN	
PIGS (Slovenia-Germany)	572-580
Aleksandra Petrović, Dragan Radojković, Čedomir Radović, Marija	
Gogić, Nenad Stojiljković, Nenad Parunović, Radomir Savić	
IN VITRO BOAR FERTILITY DURING SUMMER AND	
AUTUMN SEASON (Serbia)	581-589
Nenad Stojiljković, Dragan Radojković, Čedomir Radović, Marija	
Gogić, Vladimir Živković, Zoran Luković, Dubravko Škorput	
VARIABILITY OF THE NUMBER OF LIVE-BORN PIGLETS	
UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF FEMALE GENOTYPE, YEAR OF	
FARROWING AND PARITY (Serbia-Croatia)	590-597
Dragan Dokić, Maja Gregić, Mirna Gavran, Vesna Gantner	
EFFECTS OF INVESTMENTS IN CAPITAL CROP	
PRODUCTION - A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE	
REPUBLIC OF CROATIA AND THE EUROPEAN UNION	
(Croatia)	598-605
Sanja Živković, Tanja Vasić	
MYCOPOPULATION OF ALFALFA AND RED CLOVER HAY	
IN SERBIA (Serbia)	606-614
Ksenija Nešić, Nikola Pavlović, Marija Pavlović, Jelena Vlajković,	
Aleksandra Tasić, Vladimir Radosavljević, Božidar Savić	
AN INSIGHT INTO THE MYCOTOXICOLOGICAL SITUATION	
- RECENT EXPERIENCE AND CLOSE PREDICTION (Serbia)	615-521
Vesna Krnjaja, Violeta Mandić, Zorica Bijelić, Slavica Stanković,	
Milica Nikolić, Tanja Vasić, Nikola Delić	
FUSARIUM SPP. AND DEOXYNIVALENOL	
CONTAMINATION OF RYEGRASS SEEDS (Serbia)	622-631
	J <b></b> JJ1

# EFFECTS OF GENOTYPE AND PROTEASE ENZYME SUPPLEMENTATION ON THE PRIMAL CARCASS CUTS OF CHICKENS

Vladimir Dosković<sup>1</sup>, Snežana Bogosavljević-Bošković<sup>1</sup>, Božidar Milošević<sup>2</sup>, Zdenka Škrbić<sup>3</sup>, Miloš Lukić<sup>3</sup>, Simeon Rakonjac<sup>1</sup>, Veselin Petričević<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Agronomy, University of Kragujevac, Cara Dušana 34, 32000 Čačak, Serbia

**Abstract:** This study compared weight and percent yields of primal carcass cuts of commercial fast-growing broiler - Cobb 500 and medium-growing broiler genotype - Master Gris. Three hundred Cobb 500 chickens and three hundred Master Gris chickens were used for the experiment. Feed and water were provided *ad-libitum*. Treatments were: T1 - corn-soybean meal based control diet; T2: T1 - 4.0% crude protein than in the control diet + 0.2% protease and T3: T1 - 6.0% crude protein than in the control diet + 0.3% protease. Chickens were slaughtered at 49 days of age. Medium-growing hybrid Master Gris had a lower weight of all primal carcass cuts and breast yield (as a percentage of ready-to-grill carcass weight), as well as higher thighs, drumsticks, wings and pelvis yields in comparison with the Cobb 500 broilers (P<0.05), except back yield (P>0.05). Also, the addition of protease to broiler feed had no significant effect on the weight and percent yields of primal carcass cuts (P>0.05).

**Keywords:** hybrids, broilers, protease enzyme, primal carcass cuts

#### Introduction

In the production of chicken meat in recent decades, increasing attention is paid to minimizing the cost of broiler fattening and reducing the environmental pollution. Several factors such as genotype, diet composition, digestible nutrient content, energy to protein ratio, feed form, feed processing, environment, and disease could affect the cost of production and poultry product quality through influencing feed intake, body weight gain and feed conversion ratio (*Ahiwe et al.*, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Faculty of Agriculture, University of Pristina, Kosovska Mitrovica-Zubin potok-Lešak, 38219 Lešak

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Institute for Animal Husbandry, Autoput 16, P. Fah 23, 11080, Belgrade–Zemun, Serbia Corresponding author: Vladimir Dosković, vladosko@kg.ac.rs

Today, technologies supplemented by exogenous enzymes (xilanase, phytase, glucanase, protease, amylase,...) in the corn-soybean based diet are most commonly used to achieve these goals. Aiming to increase the efficiency of rations, the usage of exogenous enzymes in the feeding of broilers is gaining more space and has become a great alternative, since it enhances food digestibility, minimizing the anti-nutritional effects and promoting the productivity indexes (*Hooge et al.*, 2010). These enzymes can be included in the diet of livestock to improve digestibility, reduce nitrogen and phosphorus pollution, reduce diet cost, and improve the uniformity of animal growth (*Cowieson et al.*, 2019).

Proteases may have an 'extra-proteinaceous' advantage for broilers reared under high-temperature conditions by improving gut health (*Cowieson et al.*, 2016). Single exogenous protease, when added to the diet, effectively increased the apparent amino acid and protein digestibility in the broiler chicken's diet, even in a low protein diet (*Romero et al.*, 2014; Law et al., 2015; Bertechini et al., 2020; Da Silva et al., 2021,...). Some researchers (Sabino et al., 2004; Kamran et al., 2008;...) have examined the effects of reducing the levels of crude protein in the diet with exogenous enzyme supplementation on carcass yields and cuts of chickens.

The objective of this study was to compare the carcass characteristics and meat quality of a medium-growing broiler - Master Gris with a fast-growing commercial Cobb 500 broiler, both grown in identical housing conditions and fed the same diet (a diet based on maize and soybean meal, with or without protease enzymes).

#### **Materials and Methods**

A total of 300 Cobb 500 broiler chickens (1 day old, 44.73 g weight) and 300 Master Gris chickens (1 day old, 43.03 g weight) were used in the experiment. Diets were provided in phases: starter (day 1-21), grower (day 22-35) and finisher (day 35-49). Respective diets (mash feed) and water were provided *ad libitum* from day 1 to 49.

Diet treatments were T1: control diet (based on maize and soybean meal, starter phase 22% crude protein, grower phase 19% crude protein, finisher phase 17% crude protein), T2: T1 – 4.0% crude protein than in the control diet + 0.2% protease and T3: T1 – 6.0% crude protein than in the control diet + 0.3% protease. The enzyme preparation used in this study was a commercial product (Ronozyme ProAct®, produced by DSM, The Netherlands) produced through submerged fermentation of Bacillus licheniformis.

At the end of the experimental period (day 49), a total of 20 birds (10 male and 10 female) were randomly selected from each treatment. Chickens were

weighed and slaughtered and then the ready-to-grill carcass weight. After that, the carcasses are cut into primal cuts - breast, drumsticks, thighs, wings, back, pelvis and abdominal fat. Primal cuts is the measured weights and the proportions of primal cuts in relation to the ready-to-grill carcass weight were calculated.

Statistical analysis data were subjected to two-way factorial ANOVA (hybrids, diet treatments). The significance of differences between means was determined by the LSD test and differences were considered significant at P<0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using the Stat Soft Inc Statistica For Windows, Version 7.0., 2006.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Table 1 presents weight of primal carcass cuts - breast, drumsticks, thighs, wings, back and pelvis in broilers two hybrids.

Tal	ole 1. Effect	t of hyb	rids aı	nd diet on	weights of	primal car	cass cuts ac	ross treatm	ients, g
	77 1 1 1	D		D (	D ::1	DD1 1 1	****	D 1	ъ1.

Hybrid	Diet		Breast	Drumsticks	Thighs	Wings	Back	Pelvis
	T1	Ā	798.68 <sup>a</sup>	326.45 <sup>a</sup>	376.97 <sup>a</sup>	261.88 <sup>a</sup>	283.53 <sup>a</sup>	246.21 <sup>a</sup>
	11	Sd	80.28	43.93	43.89	27.22	33.25	31.64
Cobb	T2	$\bar{x}$	791.36 <sup>a</sup>	312.57 <sup>a</sup>	372.29 <sup>a</sup>	252.15 <sup>a</sup>	274.78 <sup>a</sup>	244.96 <sup>a</sup>
500	12	Sd	91.38	34.71	37.13	27.11	51.96	30.86
	T3	$\bar{x}$	764.78 <sup>a</sup>	307.96 <sup>a</sup>	361.19 <sup>a</sup>	253.83 <sup>a</sup>	279.95 <sup>a</sup>	252.22 <sup>a</sup>
	13	Sd	88.92	40.65	39.29	30.17	34.80	41.06
	T1	$\bar{x}$	524.51 <sup>b</sup>	277.48 <sup>b</sup>	$303.78^{b}$	221.74 <sup>b</sup>	222.64 <sup>b</sup>	211.47 <sup>b</sup>
	11	Sd	38.66	28.44	31.98	19.49	27.09	16.44
Master	T2	$\bar{x}$	509.90 <sup>b</sup>	264.66 <sup>b</sup>	293.25 <sup>b</sup>	219.16 <sup>b</sup>	209.10 <sup>b</sup>	200.89 <sup>b</sup>
Gris		Sd	48.88	32.09	26.78	20.41	19.00	18.15
	Т3	$\bar{x}$	515.70 <sup>b</sup>	265.04 <sup>b</sup>	297.08 <sup>b</sup>	219.18 <sup>b</sup>	216.55 <sup>b</sup>	205.55 <sup>b</sup>
		Sd	50.58	31.26	28.49	23.41	24.80	22.06
p-value								
Source of variation								
Hybrid		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Diet			0.394	0.113	0.347	0.491	0.332	0.552
Hybrid x Diet		0.554	0.921	0.633	0.799	0.950	0.608	

 $<sup>\</sup>bar{X}$  -Average, Sd - Standard deviation

a-b Means followed by different superscript letters within columns differ significantly (P<0.05)

The results presented in Table 1 showed a significant effect of genotype, ie hybrid on the weight of primal carcass cuts in chickens (P<0.05). Average weight of primary cuts were higher in broilers Cobb 500, which was expected, according to a higher body mass chickens of hybrids at the end of the experiment. Also, the results

of the experiment show that lowering crude proteins levels, with the addition of protease enzymes, did not have a negative effect on examined carcass characteristics (P>0.05). The breast weight of fast-growing broilers (784.94 g) was 1.52 time higher compared to the breast weight (516.70 g) of medium-growth hybrids. *Aksoy et al.* (2010) also reported fast-growing genotypes showed higher breast, legs, wings, back and neck weight than medium growing birds (P<0.001). Commercial fast-growing chickens had higher live weight, carcass, breast and thigh-drumstick weights (P<0.05) compared to medium and slow-growing chickens (*Cömert et al.*, 2016).

Data on percent yields of primal carcass cuts (breast, drumsticks, thighs, wings, back and pelvis) the factors analyzed (hybrids, dietary treatments) are given in Table 2.

Table 2. Effect of hybrids and diet on percentage yield of primal carcass cuts of broilers, %

Hybrid	Diet		Breast	Drumsticks	Thighs	Wings	Back	Pelvis
	T1	$\bar{x}$	33.60 <sup>a</sup>	13.69 <sup>b</sup>	15.83 <sup>b</sup>	11.02 <sup>b</sup>	11.92	10.34 <sup>c</sup>
	11	Sd	1.59	0.87	0.68	0.53	0.80	0.70
Cobb	T2	×	33.93 <sup>a</sup>	13.38 <sup>b</sup>	15.96 <sup>b</sup>	10.79 <sup>b</sup>	11.68	10.47 <sup>bc</sup>
500	12	Sd	3.59	0.90	1.17	0.65	1.46	0.76
	Т3	$\bar{x}$	33.24 <sup>a</sup>	13.34 <sup>b</sup>	15.47 <sup>b</sup>	11.02 <sup>b</sup>	12.15	10.91 <sup>b</sup>
	13	Sd	2.29	0.67	1.19	0.62	0.66	0.96
	T1	$\bar{x}$	28.62 <sup>b</sup>	15.10 <sup>a</sup>	16.53 <sup>a</sup>	12.08 <sup>a</sup>	12.11	11.54 <sup>a</sup>
	11	Sd	1.33	0.56	0.75	0.44	0.88	0.56
Master	T2	$\bar{x}$	28.90 <sup>b</sup>	14.97 <sup>a</sup>	16.62 <sup>a</sup>	12.42 <sup>a</sup>	11.86	11.40 <sup>a</sup>
Gris		Sd	1.20	0.79	0.45	0.41	0.60	0.68
	Т3	X	28.78 <sup>b</sup>	14.77 <sup>a</sup>	16.58 <sup>a</sup>	12.22 <sup>a</sup>	12.08	11.47 <sup>a</sup>
		Sd	1.25	0.848	0.58	0.49	0.81	0.58
p-value								
Source of variation								
Hybrid		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.549	0.000	
Diet Hybrid x Diet		0.659	0.148	0.388	0.819	0.228	0.195	
		0.785	0.845	0.431	0.099	0.782	0.134	

X - Average, Sd - Standard deviation

a-b Means followed by different superscript letters within columns differ significantly (P<0.05)

The results presented in Table 1 showed that chickens of the fast-growing hybrid had a higher percent yields of breasts, and a lower percent yields of drumsticks, thighs, wings and pelvis compared to the medium-growing genotype (P<0.05), with a similar percent yields of backs (P>0.05). Nutritive applied treatments did not affect the percentage yield of the primary carcass cuts, in

addition to the percent yield of the pelvis in the genotype Cobb 500 (significant differences were observed between the groups T1 and T3, P<0.05).

Relative weights of parts to cold carcass weight fast-growing genotypes showed a higher average for breast only whereas medium-growing genotypes had higher values for other parts (*Aksoy et al.*, 2010). A similar difference in carcass traits between fast- and medium-growing genotypes was also found *Santos et al.* (2004), *Fanatico et al.* (2008) and *Dal Bosco et al.* (2014). Higher relative breast weight in fast-growing broiler in comparison with slow-growing broiler were also cited by *Nielsen et al.* (2003), *Fanatico et al.* (2005) and *Jaspal et al.* (2020). Cömert et al. (2016) published that the effect of the genotype was important for the breast and thigh-drumstick yields (P<0.05), fast-growing hybrids had higher breast yield, whereas medium slow-growing chickens had higher thigh-drumstick yield (P<0.05).

## **Conclusion**

Based on the findings of the present study, it may be concluded that the differences in weight and percent yields of primal carcass cuts between the two hybrids of different intensity of growth were significant, except for the share of the back. Namely, the weights of all primal carcass cuts and breast yield were greater in fast-growth broilers, while the percent yield of drumsticks, thighs, wings and pelvis were greater in medium growth broilers. Also, present results showed that it was possible to reduce crude protein level without any negative effect on the weight and percent yields of primal carcass cuts of commercial fast-growing broiler (Cobb 500) and medium-growing broiler genotype (Master Gris) by adding protease to the diet of broiler chickens.

# Uticaj genotipa i dodatka enzima proteaze na karakteristike trupa pilića

Vladimir Dosković, Snežana Bogosavljević-Bošković, Božidar Milošević, Zdenka Škrbić, Miloš Lukić, Simeon Rakonjac, Veselin Petričević

#### Rezime

U radu je upoređena masa i udeo osnovnih delova trupa komercijalnog brzorastućeg hibrida pilića - Cobb 500 i srednje rastućeg linijskog hibrida - Master Gris. U ogledu je bilo tri stotine pilića Cobb 500 i tri stotine pilića Master Gris. Ishrana i napajanje su bili po volji. Tretmani ishrane su bili: T1 - kontrolni obrok

na bazi kukuruza i sojine sačme; T2: T1 – 4,0% sirovih proteina u odnosu na kontrolni obrok + 0,2% proteaze i T3: T1 – 6,0% sirovih proteina u odnosu na kontrolni obrok + 0,3% proteaze. Klanje pilića obavljeno je u uzrastu od 49 dana. Medium-growing hibrid Master Gris je imao manju masu svih osnovnih delova trupa i udeo grudi (u odnosu na masu trupa "spremno za roštilj"), kao i veći udeo bataka, karabataka, krila i karlice u poređenju sa pilićima Cobb 500 (P<0.05), izuzev udela leđa (P>0.05). Takođe, dodatak proteaze u hranu za piliće nije imao značajan uticaj na masu i udeo osnovnih delova trupa (P>0.05).

Ključne reči: hibridi, brojleri, enzim proteaza, osnovni delovi trupa.

## Acknowledgment

The research was financed by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development, Republic of Serbia, project ref. number 451-03-9/2021-14 and 451-03-9/2021-14/200022.

#### References

AHIWE E.U., OMEDE A.A., ABDALLH M.B., IJI P.A. (2018): Managing dietary energy intake by broiler chickens to reduce production costs and improve product quality. Animal Husbandry and Nutrition, Edited by Banu Yücel and Turgay Taşkin, IntechOpen Limited, London, United Kingdom, doi: 10.5772/intechopen.76972, 115-145.

AKSOY T., NARINE D., CUREK D.I., ONENC A., YAPICI N. (2010): Comparison of fast and medium- growing broiler genotypes raised in-doors: growth performance, slaughter results and carcass parts. Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances, 9, 1485-1490.

BERTECHINI G.A., DE CARVALHO C.C.J., CARVALHO C.A., DALOLIO S.F., SORBARA O.B.J. (2020): Amino acid digestibility coefficient values of animal protein meals with dietary protease for broiler chickens. Transl. Anim. Sci., 4:1-11.

COWIESON A., ZAEFARIAN F., KNAP I., RAVINDRAN V.R. (2016): Interactive effects of dietary protein concentration, a mono-component exogenous protease and ascorbic acid on broiler performance, nutritional status and gut health. Anim. Produ. Sci., 57, 1058-68.

COWIESON A.J., SMITH A., SORBARA J.O.B., PAPPENBERGER G., OLUKOSI O.A. (2019): Efficacy of a mono-component exogenous protease in the presence of a high concentration of exogenous phytase on growth performance of broiler chickens. J. Appl. Poult. Res., 28, 638-646.

- CÖMERT M., ŞAYAN Y., KIRKPINAR F., BAYRAKTAR Ö.H., MERT S. (2016): Comparison of carcass characteristics, meat quality, and blood parameters of slow and fast grown female broiler chickens raised in organic or conventional production system. Asian-Australas J. Anim. Sci., 29, 7, 987-997.
- DA SILVA J.M.S., DE OLIVEIRA N.R., GOUVEIA A.B.V.S., VIEIRA R.A., DOS SANTOS R.O.F., MINAFRA C.S., DOS SANTOS F.R. (2021): Effect of protease supplementation on the digestibility of amino acids in animal-origin meals for broiler diets. Czech J. Anim. Sci., 66, 29-37.
- DAL BOSCO A., MUGNAI C., GUARINO AMATO M., PIOTTOLI L., CARTONI A., CASTELLINI C. (2014): Effect of slaughtering age in different commercial chicken genotypes reared according to the organic system: 1. welfare, carcass and meat traits. Italian Journal of Animal Science, 13, 2, 467-472.
- FANATICO A.C., CAVITT L.C., PILLAI P.B., EMMERT J.L., OWENS C.M. (2005): Evaluation of slower-growing broiler genotypes grown with and without outdoor access: Meat quality. Poult. Sci., 84, 1785-1790.
- FANATICO A.C., PILLAI P.B., HESTER P.Y., FALCONE C., MENCH J., OWENS C.M., EMMERT J.L. (2008): Performance, livability, and carcass yield of slow- and fast-growing chicken genotypes fed low-nutrient or standard diets and raised indoors or with outdoor access. Poult. Sci., 87, 1012-1021.
- HOOGE D.M., PIERCE J.L., McBRIDE K.W., RIGOLIN P.J. (2010): Metaanalysis of broiler chicken trials using diets with or without allzyme SSF enzyme complex. International Journal of Poultry Science, 9, 9, 819-823.
- JASPAL M.H., ALI S., RAJPUT N., NAEEM M., TALPUR F.N., REHMAN I. (2020): Fatty acid profiling and comparative evaluation of carcass cut up yield, meat quality traits of Cobb Sasso, commercial broiler and native aseel chicken. Pure Appl. Biol. (Pab) 9, 56-65.
- KAMRAN Z., SARWAR M., NISA M., NADEEM M.A., MAHMOOD M.E. (2008): Effect of low-protein diets having constant energy-to-protein ratio on performance and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens from one to thirty-five days of age. Poult. Sci. 87, 468-474.
- LAW F.L., ZULKIFLI I., SOLEIMANI A.F., HOSSAIN M., LIANG J.B. (2015): Nutrient digestibility of broiler chickens fed on a low-protein diet supplemented with mono-component proteases. Eur. Poult. Sci., 79, 107.
- NIELSEN B.L., THOMSEN M.G., SØRENSEN J.P., YOUNG J.F. (2003): Feed and strain effects on the use of outdoor areas by broilers. Brit. Poul. Sci., 44, 2, 161-169.
- ROMERO L.F., SANDS J.S., INDRAKUMAR S.E., PLUMSTEAD P.W., DALSGAARD S., RAVINDRAN V. (2014): Contribution of protein, starch, and fat to the apparent ileal digestible energy of corn- and wheat-based broiler diets in

response to exogenous xylanase and amylase without or with protease. Poult. Sci. 93, 1-13.

SABINO H.F.N., SAKOMURA N.K., NEME R., FREITAS E.R. (2004): Protein levels in broiler feed during the growth phase. Brazilian Agricultural Research 39(5), 407-412.

SANTOS A.L., SAKOMURA N.K., FREITAS E.R., BARBOSA N.A.A., MENDONÇA M.O., CARRILHO E.N.V.M. (2004): Carcass yield and meat quality of three strains of broiler chicken. Proceedings of 22nd World's Poultry Congress, June 8-13, Istanbul, Turkey, pp.338.

\_\_\_\_\_

CIP - Каталогизација у публикацији Народна библиотека Србије, Београд

636/638(082)(0.034.2) 631/635(082)(0.034.2)

# INTERNATIONAL Symposium Modern Trends in Livestock Production (13; 2021; Beograd)

Proceedings [Elektronski izvor] / 13th International Symposium Modern Trends in Livestock Production, 6 -8 October 2021, Belgrade, Serbia; [organizer] Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade - Zemun; [editor Zdenka Škrbić]. - Belgrade: Institute for Animal Husbandry, 2021 (Belgrade: Institute for Animal Husbandry). - 1 USB fleš memorija; 1 x 3 x 6 cm

Sistemski zahtevi: Nisu navedeni. - Nasl. sa naslovne strane dokumenta. - Tiraž 100. - Bibliografija uz svaki rad.

ISBN 978-86-82431-77-0

а) Сточарство -- Зборници б) Пољопривреда -- Зборници

COBISS.SR-ID 46411785

\_\_\_\_\_



13th INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM MODERN TRENDS IN LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION 6-8 October 2021 - Belgrade, Serbia

PROCEEDINGS

INSTITUTE FOR ANIMAL HUSBANDRY Autoput 16, P. Box 23, 11080, Belgrade - Zemun, Serbia www.istocar.bg.ac.rs

ISBN 978-86-82431-77-0

