ISBN 978-86-82431-73-2

11th INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM

MODERN TRENDS IN LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION

P R O C E E D I N G S

11th - 13th October 2017 - Belgrade, Serbia

ISBN 978-86-82431-73-2





11th - 13th October 2017 - Belgrade, Serbia

EDITORIAL COUNCIL

Prof. Dr. Martin Wähner, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Bernburg, Germany Dr. Milan P. Petrović, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Dr. Zorica Tomić, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Prof. Dr. Milica Petrović, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade, Serbia Prof. Dr. Lidija Perić, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Novi Sad, Serbia Dr Maya Ignatova, Institute of Animal Science, Kostinbrod, Bulgaria Prof. Dr. Kazutaka Umetsu, Obihiro University of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, Obihiro, Japan Prof. Dr. Dragan Glamočić, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Novi Sad, Serbia Prof. Dr. Vigilijus Jukna, Institute of Energy and Biotechnology Engineering, Aleksandras Stulginskis University, Kaunas, Lithuania Dr. Elena Kistanova, Institute of Biology and Immunology of Reproduction "Kiril Bratanov", Sofia, Bulgaria Prof. Dr. Pero Mijić, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Osijek, Croatia

Publisher

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Editor-in-Chief

Milan M. Petrović, PhD, Principal Research Fellow Director of the Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun

EDITORIAL BOARD

Editor

Zdenka Škrbić, PhD, Senior Research Associate Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun

Section Editors

Animal Science

Vlada Pantelić, PhD, Senior Research Associate Miloš Lukić, PhD, Senior Research Associate Dragana Ružić-Muslić, PhD, Senior Research Associate Dušica Ostojić-Andrić, PhD, Research Associate Čedomir Radović, PhD, Research Associate

Feed Science

Zorica Bijelić, PhD, Senior Research Associate Violeta Mandić, PhD, Research Associate

Technology and Quality of Animal Products

Prof.Dr. Marjeta Čandek-Potokar, Agricultural Institute of Slovenia, Ljubljana, Slovenia Nikola Stanišić, PhD, Research Associate

Food safety and Veterinary Medicine Science

Aleksandar Stanojković, PhD, Research Associate

Language editor

Olga Devečerski

Prof.Dr. Marjeta Čandek-Potokar, Agricultural Institute of Slovenia, Ljubljana, Slovenia Prof.Dr. Peter Dovč, Department of Animal Science, Biotechnical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia Dr. Marjeta Čandek-Potokar, Agricultural Institute of Slovenia, Ljubljana, Slovenia Prof. Dr. Wladyslaw Migdal, University of Agriculture, Krakow, Poland Dr Ivan Bahelka, National Agricultural and Food Centre - Research Institute for Animal Production. Lužianky, Slovakia Prof. Dr. Colin Whitehead, Roslin Institute, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom Prof. Dr. Sandra Edwards, School of Agriculture, Food and Rural Development, University of Newcastle, United Kingdom Prof. Dr. Giacomo Biagi, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Bologna, Italy Prof. Dr. Stelios Deligeorgis, Aristotle University, Thessaloniki, Greece Prof. Dr. Hasan Ulker, Turkey Dr. Catalin Dragomir, National Research and Development Institute for Animal Biology and Nutrition (IBNA Balotesti), Balotesti, Ilfov, Romania

Address of the Editor's office

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Autoput 16, P. Box 23, 11080 Belgrade-Zemun, Republic of Serbia Tel. 381 11 2691 611, 2670 121; Fax 381 11 2670 164; e-mail: biotechnology.izs@gmail.com; <u>www.istocar.bg.ac.rs</u>

Circulation 200 copies.

The publication of this Proceedings is sponsored by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Serbia.

The Proceedings is printed by the Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade, 2017

ISBN 978-86-82431-73-2

PATRON

Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia

ORGANIZER

Institute for Animal Husbandry Autoput 16, P. Box. 23, 11080, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Tel: +381 11 2691 611; +381 11 2670 121; +381 11 2670 541; Fax: + 381 11 2670 164;

biotechnology.izs@gmail.com www.istocar.bg.ac.rs



EDITOR

INSTITUTE FOR ANIMAL HUSBANDRY For Editor - Milan M. PETROVIĆ, Ph.D Editor in Chief - Zdenka ŠKRBIĆ, Ph.D

INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

CHAIRMAN	Prof. Dr. Martin Waehner, Anhalt. University of Applied Sciences, Bernburg, Germany
SECRETARY	Dr. Milan P. Petrović, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia
MEMBERS	Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Dr. Milan M. Petrović, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Dr. Giuseppe Bee, Agroscope Posieux, Posieux, Switzerland Dr. Vlada Pantelić, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Prof. Dr. Yusup A. Yuldashbaev, Russian State Agrarian University, Moscow Timiryazev Agricultural Academy, Faculty of Animal Science and Biology, Russia Dr. Vesna S. Krnjaja, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Prof. Dr. Giacomo Biagi, Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences, - University of Bologna, Italy Prof. Dr. Marjeta Čandek-Potokar, Agricultural Institute of Slovenia, Slovenia Dr. Zdenka Škrbić, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Prof. Dr. Elena Kistanova, Institute of Biology and Immunology of Reproduction "Kiril Bratanov", Sofia, Bulgaria Prof. Dr. Marina I. Selionova, FSBSI-All-Russian Scientific Research Institute of Sheep and Goat Breeding, Stavropol, Russia Dr. Dragana Ružić-Muslić, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Dr. Dragana Ružić-Muslić, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Prof. Dr. Rurina I. Selionova, FSBSI-All-Russian Scientific Research Institute of Sheep and Goat Breeding, Stavropol, Russia Dr. Dragana Ružić-Muslić, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Dr. María Muñoz, Centro de I+D en Cerdo Ibérico, Dpto. Mejora Genética Animal, INIA, Zafra, Badajoz, Spain Prof. Dr. Ru Miguel Carracha Charneca, Universidade de Évora, Escola de Ciências e Tecnologia, Instituto de Ciências Agrárias e Ambientais Mediterrânicas (ICAAM), Évora, Portugal

INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Prof. Dr. Rodne Nastova, Ss Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, Institute of Animal Science, Macedonia Dr. Miloš Lukić, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Prof. Dr. Maya Ignatova, Institute of Animal Science, Kostinbrod, Bulgaria Prof. Dr. Vigilijus Jukna, Institute of Energy and Biotechnology Engineering, Aleksandras Stulginskis University, Lithuania Dr. Snežana Mladenović Drinić, Maize Research Institute "Zemun Polje", Zemun Polje, Serbia Prof. Dr. Pero Mijić, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Osijek, Croatia Prof. Dr. Wladyslav Migdal, Department of Animal Product Technology, University of Agriculture in Kraków, Kraków, Poland Dr. Violeta Andjelković, Maize Research Institute "Zemun Polje", Zemun Polje, Serbia Prof. Dr. Zoran Luković, University of Zagreb Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Animal Science and Technology, Croatia Prof. Dr. Yalcin Bozkurt, Suleyman Demirel University, Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Isparta, Turkey Dr. Zorica Bijelić, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Dr. Ivan Pavlović, Scientific Veterinary Institute of Serbia, Serbia Dr. Ivan Bahelka, NPPC - Research Institute for Animal Production Nitra, Slovakia Dr. Emil Krupa, Institute of Animal Science, Prague, Czech Republic Dr. Snežana Ivanović, Scientific Veterinary Institute of Serbia, Serbia



ORGANIZING

CHAIRMAN	Dr. Milan M. Petrović, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia
SECRETARY	Dr. Čedomir Radović, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia
MEMBERS	Dr. Dušica Ostojić Andrić, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Prof. Dr. Zoran Ilić, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Lešak, Serbia Dr. Violeta Caro Petrović, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Prof. Dr. Aleksandar Simić, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade, Serbia Dr. Violeta Mandić, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Prof. Dr. Milun D. Petrović University of Kragujevac, Faculty of Agronomy, Čačak, Serbia Prof. Dr. Slavča Hristov, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade, Serbia Dr. Aleksandar Stanojković, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Dr. Aleksandar Stanojković, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Dr. Nikola Stanišić, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia
	Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Dr. Veselin Petričevič, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Dr. Dragan Nikšić, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

SYMPOSIUM SECRETARIAT

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Dr. Čedomir Radović,

CHAIRMAN

MEMBERS

Slavko Maletić, grad.econ. Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Olga Devečerski, grad. prof. Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Stanislav Marinkov, grad.arch. Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Nikola Delić, DVM, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Marija Gogić, BSc, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Maja Petričević, BSc, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Marina Lazarević, BSc, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Nenad Mićić, MSc, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Bogdan Cekić, MSc, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Miloš Marinković, MSc, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia Vladimir Živković, BSc, Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia



CONTENTS

INVITED PAPERS

Milan P. Petrovic, Dragana Ružic Muslic, Violeta Caro Petrovic, Nevena Maksimovic, Bogdan Cekic, Yusup A. Yuldashbaev, Marina I. Selionova TRENDS AND CHALLENGES IN THE GENETIC IMPROVEMENT OF FARM ANIMALS (Serbia – Russia)	1-14
Martin Wähner ANIMAL BREEDING IN FRONT OF HIGHER GLOBAL NEEDS AND RESOURCE AND ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION (Germany)	15-24
Yalcin Bozkurt, Nazire Mikail, Cihan Dogan DIGITAL IMAGE ANALYSIS FOR PREDICTION CARCASS WEIGHT OF SOME BEEF CATTLE BREEDS (Turkey)	25-33
Ante Ivanković, Valentino Držaić, Jelena Ramljak, Miljenko Konjačić ASSOCIATION OF GHR GENE POLYMORPHISMS ON BEEF CARCASS QUALLITY (Croatia)	34-42
Violeta Caro Petrović, Milan M. Petrović, Nevena Maksimović, Marina Lazarević, Aleksandar Stanojković, Nenad Mićić, Miloš Marinković ANALYSIS OF BETA-LACTOGLOBULIN IN SMALL HERD OF	
CATTLE BY PCR-RFLP (Serbia) Vigilijus Jukna, Česlovas Jukna, Vaidas Prusevičius, Edita Meškinytė-Kaušilienė, Žydrūnė Vyšniauskaitė THE INFLUENCE OF KAOLIN E559 ON MILK PRODUCTION	43-49
AND SOMATIC CELLS COUNT IN MILK DURING THE INDOOR AND OUTDOOR PERIODS (Lithuania)	50-61
Dragan Nikšić, Vlada Pantelić, Dušica Ostojić-Andrić, Predrag Perišić, Marina Lazarević, Nenad Mićić, Maja Petričević VARIABILITY OF THE BODY DEVELOPMENT TRAITS OF	
SIMMMENTAL COWS IN SERBIA (Serbia)	62-70

Yusup A.Yuldashbaev, Maria I. Dongak, Ksenia A. Kulikova, Elena V. Pakhomova, Zhaziraim M. Abenova, Salbak O. Chylbak- ool, Milan P. Petrovic	
THE MODERN STATE OF SHEEP BREEDING IN RUSSIA (Russia - Serbia)	71-78
Marina I. Selionova, Galina T. Bobryshova SHEEP BREEDING ECONOMIC, PLUSES AND MINUSES (Russia)	79-89
Nevena Maksimović, Milan P. Petrović, Dragana Ružić-Muslić, Violeta Caro Petrović, Bogdan Cekić, Marina Lazarević, Rossen Stefanov	
SHEEP AND GOAT FLOCK BOOK AND HERD BOOK RECORD KEEPING IN CENTRAL SERBIA (Serbia – Bulgaria)	90-98
Vesna Gantner, Pero Mijić, Maja Gregić, Vladan Bogdanović, Tina Bobić, Dragan Solić, Krešimir Kuterovac PERSPECTIVES OF PIG BREEDING SECTOR IN EUROPE (Croatia)	99-108
Marjeta Čandek-Potokar, Urška Tomažin, Martin Škrlep, Nina Batorek Lukač, Maja Prevolnik Povše, Peter Dovč, Zein Kallas, José M. Gil KRŠKOPOLJE PIG IN TREASURE PROJECT: FROM REARING TO PRODUCT (Slovenia – Spain)	109-120
<i>Giuseppe Bee</i> GESTATIONAL STRATEGIES AFFECTING SOW REPRODUCTION AND PIGLET BIRTH WEIGHT (Switzerland)	121-145
Rui Charneca, Amadeu Freitas, José Martins, José Neves, Miguel Elias, Marta Laranjo, José Nunes ALENTEJANO AND BÍSARO PIGS: TRADITION AND INNOVATION – THE TREASURE PROJECT (Portugal)	146-155
Čedomir Radović, Milica Petrović, Marija Gogić, Radomir Savić, Nenad Parunović, Dragan Radojković, Nikola Stanišić TREASURE - MANGALITSA LOCAL PIG BREED IN SERBIA (Serbia)	156-168
Zoran Luković, Dubravko Škorput, Danijel Karolyi PIG WELFARE AT DIFFERENT PRODUCTION SYSTEMS (Croatia)	169-177

Thomas Kunze, Martin Wähner NOVEL ASPECTS OF THE TAIL LENGTH IN PIGLETS CONSIDERING TO THE OCCURANCE OF VERTEBRAL ABNORMALITIES (Germany)	178-188
Władysław Migdał, Čedomir Radović, Vladimir Živković, Emilia Gwiazda, Łukasz Migdał, Anna Migdał, Maria Walczycka, Ewelina Węsierska, Marzena Zając, Joanna Tkaczewska, Piotr Kulawik, Katarzyna Krępa-Stefanik	190 202
QUALITY OF MEAT FROM NATIVE PIGS (Poland – Serbia) Ivan Bahelka, Ondřej Bučko, Emília Hanusová, Martina Gondeková SENSORY COMPARISON OF MEAT AND FAT FROM ENTIRE MALE, SURGICALLY CASTRATED AND FEMALE PIGS DEPENDING ON SEX OF CONSUMERS AND PORK	189-203
PREFERENCE (Slovakia)	204-210
Martin Wähner, Heinz Pingel, Ralf Fischer, Romi Wehlitz GENETIC PARAMETERS FOR GROWTH AND CARCASS TRAITS OF PEKIN DUCKS (Germany)	211-219
Teodora Popova, Evgeni Petkov, Maya Ignatova CARCASS AND MEAT QUALITY OF MALE LAYER-TYPE CHICKENS AT DIFFERENT AGE (Bulgaria)	220-228
Veselin Petričević, Miloš Lukić, Zdenka Škrbić, Maja Petričević, Snežana Bogosavljević-Bošković, Vladimir Dosković, Simeon Rakonjac	
THE EFFECT OF GARLIC ON PRODUCTION INDICATORS AND THE SHARE OF INTERNAL ORGANS IN BROILER CHICKENS (Serbia)	229-236
Diana Lupulović, Jasna-Prodanov Radulović, Tamaš Petrović, Gospava Lazić, Jelena Apić, Milena Samojlović, Sava Lazić THE MOST COMMON VIRAL INFECTIONS THAT CAN CAUSE SWINE REPRODUCTIVE PROBLEMS (Serbia)	237-252
Aleksandar Stanojković, Marija Gogić, Dušica Ostojić-Andrić, Maja Petričević, Jakov Nišavić, Ksenija Nešić, Aleksandra Stanojković- Sebić	
WILD PIGS - THE SOURCE OF ZOONOTIC STREPTOCOCCUS SUIS STRAINS (Serbia)	253-259

Giacomo Biagi, Carlo Pinna, Carla Giuditta Vecchiato, Monica	
Grandi	
THE UTILIZATION OF PREBIOTICS AND PROBIOTICS TO	
MODULATE THE INTESTINAL MICROBIOTA OF DOGS	
(Italy)	260-267
()/	
Dušica Ostojić Andrić, Slavča Hristov, Milan M. Petrović, Vlada	
Pantelić, Dragan Nikšić, Violeta Caro Petrović, Aleksandar	
Stanojković	
DAIRY COWS HEALTH PARAMETERS IN DIFFERENT	
SEASON - AN WELFARE APPROACH (Serbia)	268-277
	200 277
Aleksandar Simić, Željko Dželetović, Gordana Andrejić, Mirjam	
Radulaški, Zorica Bijelić, Violeta Mandić	
MICRONUTRIENTS AND HEAVY METALS OF	
AGROSTIETUM CAPILLARIS IN BALKAN COUNTRIES	
(Serbia)	278-289
	210 207
Violeta Mandić, Zorica Bijelić, Vesna Krnjaja, Dragana Ružić	
Muslić, Violeta Caro Petrović, Snežana Đorđević, Maja Petričević	
EFFECT OF DIFFERENT NITROGEN FERTILIZATION	
LEVELS ON MAIZE FORAGE YIELD AND QUALITY (Serbia)	290-303
LET LES ON MILLET ONNOL TILLE AND QUALITT (SCIDIA)	270-303

ORALLY PRESENTED PAPERS

María Muñoz, Riccardo Bozzi, Alessandro Crovetti, Rui Charneca,	
Jose M. Martins, Ana I. Fernández, Luca Fontanesi, Juan M.	
García-Casco, Cristina Óvilo	
GENETIC DIVERSITY OF ALENTEJANO AND IBERIAN	
BREEDS ASSESED BY POLYMORPHISMS OF MAJOR GENES	
(Spain – Italy – Portugal)	304-311
Zuzana Krupová, Emil Krupa, Josef Přibyl, Eliška Žáková	
FARROWING INTERVAL IN SELECTION OF DAM PIG	
BREEDS IN THE CZECH REPUBLIC (Czech Republic)	312-318
Adrián López-García Juan M. García-Casco, María Muñoz, José	
M. Martínez-Torres, Miguel A. Fernández-Barroso, Elena	
González-Sánchez	
BACKFAT FATTY ACID PROFILE AFTER GROWING PERIOD	
IN IBERIAN PIGS FED WITH OLIVE CAKE IN A DRY OR	
WET (SILAGE) FORM (Spain)	319-326

Vladimir Margeta, Kristina Gvozdanović, Ivona Djurkin Kušec, Polonca Margeta, Goran Kušec, Žarko Radišić THE EFFECT OF THE ACORN IN FEEDING ON THE PRODUCTIO AND SLAUGHTER TRAITS OF CRNA SLAVONSKA PIG (Croatia)	327-334
	02,001
Anamaria Ekert Kabalin, Čedomir Radović, Ivan Vlahek, Marija Gogić, Nikola Brleković, Sandro Korent, Sven Menčik, Željko Pavičić, Mario Ostović, Velimir Sušić FATTENING RESULTS AND BIOSECURITY LEVEL ON PIG	
FARMS WITH DIFFERENT CAPACITY (Croatia – Serbia)	335-345
Lukasz Migdał, Konrad Kozioł, Władysław Migdał, Sylwia Pałka, Michał Kmiecik, Anna Migdał, Józef Bieniek RABBITS BREEDING IN POLAND – POSSIBILITY OF	
IMPLEMENTATION OF MARKER ASSISTED SELECTION	
(MAS) IN BREEDING (Poland)	346-355
Jovan Bojkovski, Radiša Prodanović, Ivan Vujanac, Sreten Nedić, Renata Relić, Danijela Bojkovski Dejan Bugarski, Dušica Ostojić- Andrić, Ivan Pavolović, Dubravka Milanov BREEDING DISEASE AND WELFARE CALVES ON DAIRY FARMS TIED BREEDING SYSTEM (Serbia)	356-364
Slavča Hristov, Jelena Miočinović, Branislav Stanković, Zorica Radulović, Dušica Ostojić - Andrić, Zvonko Zlatanović THE MOST IMPORTANT FAILURES IN MAINTAINING THE HYGIENE OF MILKING, COOLING SYSTEMS AND TRANSPORTATION OF DAIRY MILK (Sorbic)	365-376
TRANSPORTATION OF DAIRY MILK (Serbia)	303-370
Edit Mikóné Jónás, Savaş Atasever, Szabina Szilagyi RELATIONS OF UDDER HYGIENE WITH QUALITY AND QUANTITY PARAMETERS OF MILK IN HOLSTEIN COWS (Hungary – Turkey)	377-383
Ivan Pavlović, Snežana Ivanović, Boris Pisinov, Zsolt Becskei, Mila Savić, Danica Todorović	
PARASITES CONTAMINANT OF GOAT MEAT (Serbia)	384-395

POSTER SECTION I

Savaş Atasever, Szabina Szilágyi, Myrtill Gráff, Edit Mikóné Jónás EFFECT OF BODY CONDITION AT CALVING ON	
LACTATION CURVE OF HOLSTEIN COWS (Turkey-Hungary)	396-405
Milun D. Petrović, Milan M. Petrović, Vladan Bogdanović, Radica Dedović, Simeon Rakonjac, Vladimir Dosković, Miloš Ž. Petrović EFFECT OF FIXED AND CONTINUOUS ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS ON MILK PRODUCTION IN THE FIRST THREE STANDARD LACTATIONS IN SIMMENTAL COWS (Serbia)	406-416
Marina Lazarević, Vlada Pantelić, Dragan Nikšić, Nevena Maksimović, Miloš Marinković, Nenad Mićić, Dragan Stanojević VARIABILITY OF MILK TRAITS IN PROGENY TESTING OF THE SIMMENTAL BULLS (Serbia)	417-426
Miloš Marinković, Predrag Perišić, Milan M. Petrović, Vlada Pantelić, Marina Lazarević, Nenad Mićić, Vladimir Živković THE INFLUENCE OF SEASON ON SPERM QUALITY IN	427-437
HOLSTEIN BULLS (Serbia)	427-437
Bogdan Cekić, Aleksa Božičković, Dragana Ružić-Muslić, Nevena Maksimović, Violeta Caro Petrović, Nenad Mićić, Vladimir Živković COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT ENERGY SYSTEMS FOR DETERMINATION OF LUCERNE ENERGETIC VALUE IN DAIRY CATTLE DIET (Serbia)	438-449
Nenad Mićić, Goran Grubić, Milan M. Petrović, Vlada	
Pantelić, Bogdan Cekić, Miloš Marinković, Marina Lazarević BENTONITE IN NUTRITION OF DAIRY COWS (Serbia)	450-460
Penka Moneva, Ivan Yanchev, Marina Dyavolova, Dimitar Gudev TOTAL ANTIOXIDANT CAPACITY AND HEMATOLOGICAL CHANGES FOLLOWING EXPOSURE TO MODERATE	
ALTITUDE IN EWES POSSESSING LOW OR HIGH BASELINE HEMATOCRIT (Bulgaria)	461-474
Parka Manana, Isan Vanahas, Maning Dumulang, Dimitar Cular	
Penka Moneva, Ivan Yanchev, Marina Dyavolova, Dimitar Gudev EFFECT OF ALTITUDE HYPOXIA ON LEUCOCYTE COUNT	
IN LOW AND HIGH HEMATOCRIT SHEEP (Bulgaria)	475-484

Almira Softić, Velija Katica, Vedad Šakić, Aida Kavazović, Maja	
Varatanović, Dinaida Tahirović	
EFFECT OF NUTRITION ON PHENOTYPE	
CHARACTERISTICS OF CROSS-BRED LAMBS IN	
FATTENING (Bosnia and Herzegovina)	485-493
Dragana Ružić-Muslić, Milan P. Petrović, Zorica Bijelić, Violeta	
Caro Petrović, Nevena Maksimović, Violeta Mandić, Bogdan Cekić	
THE INFLUENCE OF NON-DEGRADABLE PROTEIN AND	
THE CROSSING SYSTEM ON THE TORTUOSITY, STRENGTH	404 504
AND EXTENSIBILITY OF LAMB WOOL FIBER (Serbia)	494-504
Stanimira Slavova, Georgi Kalaydzhiev, Jivko Krastanov, Yovka	
Popova, Staika Laleva	
ECONOMIC VALUES OF THE BASIC PRODUCTION AND	
FUNCTIONAL TRAITS OF SHEEP FROM BULGARIAN	
DAIRY SYNTHETIC POPULATION (Bulgaria)	505-516
Radomir Savić, Milica Petrović, Marija Gogić, Čedomir Radović,	
Dragan Radojković, Nikola Stanišić, Marjeta Čandek-Potokar	
PRODUCTIVE TRAITS OF MORAVKA BREED - HAS	
ANYTHING CHANGED IN LAST SIXTY YEARS? (Serbia)	517-527
Urška Tomažin, Nina Batorek Lukač, Martin Škrlep, Maja	
Prevolnik Povše, Jernej Ogorevc, Peter Dovč, Marjeta Čandek-	
Potokar	
MEAT QUALITY OF KRŠKOPOLJE PIGS AS AFFECTED BY	
RYR1 GENOTYPE (Slovenia)	528-538
	520-550
Marija Gogić, Čedomir Radović, Milica Petrović, Radomir Savić,	
Aleksandar Stanojković, Maja Petričević, Vladimir Živković	
PHENOTYPIC VARIABILITY OF THE WEIGHT OF WARM	
CARCASS SIDE OF FATTENERS (Serbia)	539-548
Vladimir Živković, Branislav Stanković, Bogdan Cekić, Miloš	
Marinković, Saša Obradović, Marija Gogić, Čedomir Radović	
REVIEWING THE POSSIBILITY OF THE SUBSTITUTION OF	
ANTIBIOTICS WITH PROBIOTICS IN DIET FOR WEANED	
PIGLETS (Serbia)	549-556
Ivan Mičić, Zoran Rajić, Jelena Živković, Grujica Vico, Marko	
Mičić, Ivana Mičić, Marija Mičić	
THE ECONOMICS OF MEAT PRODUCTION ON PIG FARMS	
IN SERBIA IN DIFFERENT FARMING SYSTEMS (Serbia-	
Bosnia and Herzegovina)	557-570

POSTER SECTION II

Nedeljka Nikolova, Dragoslav Kocevski, Rodne Nastova, Nikola	
Puvaca, Cyril Hrncar, Tosho Kostadinov	
INFLUENCE OF GENOTYPES, AGE, NUTRITION AND INTERACTIONS GENOTYPE X NUTRITION ON MORTALITY OF BROILER CHICKENS POSTER SECTION II (Macedonia)	571-584
Evgeni Petkov, Maya Ignatova, Teodora Popova	
OFFERING OF SUCROSE IN THE DRINKING WATER AND	
ITS EFFECT ON THE MAJOR ZOOTECHNICAL	
PARAMETERS IN MALE LAYER-TYPE CHICKENS (Bulgaria)	585-594
(Durgaria)	
Miloš Lukić, Zdenka Škrbić, Veselin Petričević, Vesna Krnjaja,	
Nikola Stanišić, Zorica Bijelić	
THE INFLUENCE OF THE ADDITION OF NETTLE IN BROILER FOOD AT THE FINAL STAGE OF THE FATTENING	
(Serbia)	595-601
Ksenija Nešić, Snežana Ivanović, Vladimir Radosavljević, Jasna	
Kureljušić, Nikola Rokvić, Aleksandar Stanojković, Milan Radović IMPACT OF CALCIUM ADMINISTERED THROUGH	
DRINKING WATER ON EGG PRODUCTION OF LAYING	
HENS (Serbia)	602-608
Vladimir Dosković, Snežana Bogosavljević-Bošković, Zdenka	
<i>Škrbić, Milun D. Petrović, Veselin Petričević, Simeon Rakonjac</i> EFFECT OF PROTEASE ON PRODUCTION PERFORMANCE.	
WEIGHTS AND PROPORTIONS OF PRIMAL CUTS AND	
WEIGHTS OF EDIBLE BY-PRODUCTS OF BROILERS (Serbia)	609-617
Zdenka Škrbić, Miloš Lukić, Veselin Petričević, Snežana Bogosavljević-Bošković, Vladimir Dosković, Simeon Rakonjac	
MEAT QUALITY OF REDBRO CHICKENS REARED	
EXTENSIVE INDOORS AFFECTED BY DURATION OF	(10 (0)
FATTENING PERIOD (Serbia)	618-626
Mirjana Đukić Stojčić, Lidija Perić, Siniša Bjedov	
THE EFFECT OF STORAGE TIME ON THE EGG QUALITY	677 677
CHARACTERISTICS IN OLD LAYING HENS (Serbia)	627-633

POSTER SECTION III

Maja Petričević, Aleksandar Stanojković, Dušica Ostojić-Andrić, Dragan Nikšić, Veselin Petričević, Marija Gogić, Violeta Mandić COMPARATIVE STUDY OF FATTENING AND SLAUGHTER PROPERTIES OF FEMALE SIMMENTAL CATTLE AND CROSSES OF SIMMENTAL AND CHAROLAIS (Serbia)	634-641
Snežana Ivanović, Ksenija Nešić, Boris Pisinov, Ivan Pavlović SLAUGHTERHOUSES, POSSIBLE SOURCE OF BACTERIA (Serbia)	642-653
Metin Davut, Savaş Atasever SEASONAL CHANGES OF COMPOSITION AND SOMATIC CELL COUNT OF BUCKET MILK FROM JERSEY CROSSBRED COWS IN NORTHERN (TURKEY)	654-661
<i>Vujadin Vuković, Nada Plavša, Jasna Kureljušić</i> EXAMINATION OF THE TIME OF EXCRETION OF PENICILLIN RESIDUES IN COWS MILK BY FORMING INTERVAL SERIES AND ABSOLUTE FREQUENCYES (Serbia)	662-671
<i>Vujadin Vuković, Mihajlo Vićentijević, Milan Radović</i> EXAMINATION OF THERAPEUTIC EFFECT TIAMULIN IN THE TREATMENT OF BLOODY DIARRHEA PIGLETS (Serbia)	672-678
<i>Vujadin Vuković, Mihajlo Vićentijević, Milan Radović</i> EXAMINATION OF THERAPEUTIC EFFECTS GENTAMICIN 5% INJ. ad. us. vet. IN THE TREATMENT OF NEONATAL COLIBACILLOSIS CALVES (Serbia)	679-684
Aleksandar Saveski, Tatjana Kalevska, Viktorija Stamatovska, Zora Uzunoska, Elena Josevska COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF FATTY ACIDS IN THE MEAT OF THE MACEDONIAN AND OHRID TROUT FROM AQUACULTURE PRODUCTION (Macedonia)	685-695
Vesna Dragičević, Milena Simić, Branka Kresović, Milan Brankov CROPPING SYSTEM AND FERTILIZATION REGIME AS FACTORS OF MAIZE GRAIN QUALITY (Serbia)	696-705

Jordan Marković, Snežana Babić, Dragan Terzić, Vladimir Zornić, Tanja Vasić, Jasmina Milenković, Ivica Kostić	
CARBOHYDRATE CONTENT OF ALFALFA HARVEST AT	
DIFFERENT DEVELOPMENT STAGE IN THE SPRING	
GROWTH (Serbia)	706-712
Zorica Bijelić, Violeta Mandić, Aleksandar Simić, Vesna Krnjaja,	
Dragana Ružić-Muslić, Bogdan Cekić, Nenad Mićić	
BENEFITS OF MIXING GRASSES AND LEGUMES FOR	
FORAGE YIELD AND IMPACT OF DIFFERENT LEVELS OF	713-723
NITROGEN FERTILIZATION (Serbia)	/15-/25
Vesna Krnjaja, Aleksandar Stanojković, Slavica Stanković, Zorica	
Bijelić, Violeta Mandić, Nenad Mićić, Miloš Marinković	
FUNGAL CONTAMINATION OF CATTLE FEEDS (Serbia)	724-730
Ivana Stanojević, Snežana Babić, Snežana Andjelković, Darko	
Jevremović, Aleksandar Leposavić, Vladimir Zornić, Tanja Vasić	
APPEARANCE AND FREQUENCE OF FUNGI ON GRASSES	731-737
SEED IN SERBIA (Serbia)	

THE EFFECT OF COLLECTION SEASONS ON THE SEMEN QUALITY OF HOLSTEIN-FRIESIAN BULLS

Miloš Marinković¹, Predrag Perišić², Milan M. Petrović¹, Vlada Pantelić¹, Marina Lazarević¹, Nenad Mićić¹, Vladimir Živković¹

¹Institute for Animal Husbandry, Autoput 16, P. Box 23, 11080, Belgrade-Zemun, Republic of Serbia ₂Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade, Nemanjina 6, 11080, Belgrade-Zemun, Republic of Serbia

Corresponding author: Miloš Marinković majlo1989@gmail.com Original scientific paper

Abstract: The study, which included 9 Holstein-Friesian bulls from the Livestock Center of the PKB Corporation, analyzed the impact of the ejaculate collection season on certain semen properties (ejaculate volume, sperm concentration, sperm motility in native ejaculate, sperm dilution, number of doses of one ejaculate and mobility of spermatozoa after thawing). For the purposes of this analysis, data for the three-year (2011-2013) and one-year period (2014) were used. In the three-year period, based on 621 ejaculates of three bulls, the average values for examined properties were calculated and the season's impact on these properties analyzed. The results show that the ejaculate collection season had a very significant effect (p<0.001) on the sperm motility in native ejaculate, and a significant effect (p < 0.05) on the level of dilution of ejaculate and the mobility of spermatozoa after thawing. In the single-year period, based on 326 ejaculates from 9 bulls, the average values of the studied properties were calculated, and the influence of the season was also analyzed. The ejaculate collecting season showed a very high impact (p<0.001) on the mobility of spermatozoa after thawing, but no significant effect was found on other properties (p > 0.05).

Keywords: bulls, season, spermatozoa, ejaculate, Holstein-Friesian breed

Introduction

The production and quality of bull semen are strongly influenced by genetic factors such as breed and individual properties. However, the production and quality of sperm is conditioned by environmental factors such as diet, way of keeping and nursing, temperature and humidity, ejaculation frequency, and seed collecting skills. One of the most common factors that significantly impairs spermatogenesis is elevated ambient temperature. High daily temperatures (over 28° C) can cause a spermatogenesis disorder, especially if they last for a long time. The spermatozoa in the first stage of spermatogenesis, when they are still located in the semen canals, are most sensitive to the effects of high temperatures. Subsequently, spermatozoids are wrapped in membranes that should enable them to better survive higher temperatures. Therefore, it can be said that the quality of the ejaculate depends on the temperature affecting the spermatozoa 45-60 days ago (*Stančić, 2014*).

The influence of the season on the semen properties was the subject of many studies. *Mathevon et al. (1998)* state that the season significantly affects all the properties of ejaculate (volume, concentration, mobility, total sperm count and total movable sperm count) in young bulls and most of the properties in older bulls (it does not significantly affect the volume of ejaculate and mobility of spermatozoa). Furthermore, the same author states that the concentration and total sperm count were higher during the winter and spring compared to summer and autumn.

Schwab et al. (1987) and Menendez-Buxadera et al. (1984) state that the highest values of the volume of ejaculate, concentration, and number of spermatozoa were also achieved during the winter. These results are contrary to the values established by *Fuente et al.* (1984) who have established the lowest results in the winter.

Orgal and Roth (2008) have analyzed the impact of the collecting season on the quality of bull semen. Their results show that there is a progressive decrease in the motility of spermatozoa after thawing during the summer months, although the significant effect of the season on motility has not been confirmed in the native ejaculate. Also, the percentage of spermatozoa in doses after thawing with defective acrosomes is higher in summer months ($54.2 \pm 3.5\%$) compared to winter months ($51.4 \pm 1.9\%$). The results obtained suggest that the ejaculates collected during the summer are less able to survive the cryoconservation process precisely because of the lower vitality of sperm recorded after thawing.

Vilakazi and Webb (2004), have examined the effect of the season on the presence of normally developed spermatozoa in the ejaculate. The percentage of normal spermatozoa in the ejaculate was higher during the spring ($84.4 \pm 2.4\%$) and winter ($82.5 \pm 2.4\%$) compared to the summer ($72.8 \pm 1.6\%$) and autumn ($79.4 \pm 2.2\%$). Based on these results, it can be again concluded that summer months, or high temperatures, have an adverse effect on the vitality of spermatozoa.

Söderquist et al. (1996) have found significant seasonal variations in the sperm counts with head damage and with total defects. However, the percentage of

spermatozoa with damage was significantly higher during spring and summer compared to colder months of autumn and winter.

Artificial insemination is a process that has significantly improved livestock breeding, and especially cattle breeding. The use of this method has led to more effective use of the bulls, the intensity of selection has increased, the cost of keeping male animals is reduced, the safety of people and animals has increased and the risk of transmission of sexually transmitted diseases is reduced. For this reason, obtaining high quality doses of semen for insemination is an important process in any program of artificial insemination.

Every season is characterized by specific weather conditions that can affect the bull and its production to a lesser or greater extent. The aim of this study is to determine if the ejaculate collection season significantly influences the quality of the doses obtained.

Material and Methods

Research for the purpose of this study was carried out at the Livestock Centre of the PKB Corporation in Belgrade. At the time that data used in the present study was collected, 12 Holstein-Friesian bulls were used in the artificial insemination program in this Centre, and our research included the results of 9 bulls. The entire process of semen production at the Centre was monitored, starting with the collection of bulls' ejaculate, to the final stages of checking of semen quality after thawing.

Taking of the ejaculate in most bulls is done twice a week, most often on Mondays and Fridays. Only one ejaculate is taken from a bull once a day, rarely twice a day. The collection of the ejaculate is performed by artificial vagina, while the second bull is used to induce a full reflex, or jump.

A general overview of sperm involves assessing colour, odour and consistency. Ejaculates that are dirty or with traces of blood/puss are discarded, but are registered in the record for the current year. If the sperm passes the general examination, an estimate of the volume of ejaculate, density and motility of spermatozoa is done. The volume of the ejaculate is determined volumetrically in a graduated sperm collector. The density of the ejaculate (the number of spermatozoa in 1 ml of ejaculate) is measured using a photometer while the motility is estimated based on the number of spermatozoa that exhibit progressive penetration. Observation is performed using a microscope (magnification of 20 - 40x) and score/estimates are given for motility from 1 to 5.

The ejaculate, which satisfies the basic criteria, is then diluted and divided into a number of doses, which are packaged in the form of straws. The most common is the median dilution rate (1:10 - 1:15) and the doses of up to 20 million sperm count. The most commonly used diluent is AndroMed, and diluted semen is packed in vacuums in straws of a volume of 0.22ml, and then closed with ultrasound. Subsequently, a conventional method of deep freezing of the semen dose is applied. The first control of deep-frozen semen is carried out 24 hours after freezing when the percentage of progressively moving spermatozoa is determined, which should be at least 50% in the dose.

The analysis is based on the examination of the impact of the season of semen collection, and semen quality of bulls in the three-year period (2011 - 2013) and the one-year period (2014).

For the three-year period (2011 - 2013), data was collected from 3 bulls who produced between them 621 ejaculates, and for the purpose of the present analysis the year was divided into four seasons:

1) Winter (January, February, March)

2) Spring (April, May, June)

3) Summer (July, August, September)

4) Autumn (October, November, December)

For the one-year period (2014), data was collected from 9 bulls, which produced between them 326 ejaculates, and due to limited data, the year was divided into three seasons:

1) Winter (January, February)

2) Transitional period (March, April, May)

3) Summer (June, July)

The following properties of semen quality were analysed: 1) the volume of the ejaculate (in ml), 2) the concentration of spermatozoa (in 10^6 /ml), 3) the motility of spermatozoa in the native ejaculate (grade 1 to 5), 4) the level of sperm dilution (sperm ratio: thinner), 5) number of doses of single ejaculate, 6) sperm motility after thawing (%). For these properties, the basic parameters of descriptive statistics (average, minimum, maximum, standard deviation) were calculated. By the method of variance analysis (F test), the influence of the ejaculate collection season on the semen quality was examined, and the significance of differences in the average values of the observed properties between the individual levels of observation was tested, by the least significant difference test (LSD - test). The number of ejaculates, whose quality parameters were analysed, was not the same for all investigated traits. The minimum values that the semen had to meet in order to be used for artificial insemination are: volume of ejaculate - 2 ml, concentration of spermatozoa - 800 x 10^6 /ml, motility score - 4 - (75-80% of progressively

430

moving spermatozoa), motility after thawing - 50 %. This decrease in the total number of ejaculates, which are finally included in the analysis, is about 50% of the total number of ejaculate collected, and there are huge differences between the bulls. Statistical processing of the received data was performed with the program "STATISTICA 6.0 StatSoft, 2001".

Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows the values of the examined properties for three bulls over a three-year period. During this period, the average volume of the ejaculate for these three bulls was 4.9 ml, the minimum ejaculate was 0.5 and the maximum 11 ml. The lowest concentration of spermatozoa was 100 $\times 10^6$ /ml, and maximum 2780 $\times 10^6$ /ml, but the average was 1118.7 $\times 10^6$ /ml. Scores for the motility of spermatozoa in native ejaculate were from 1 to 5, while the average score was 3.5. The average dilution rate was 14, the minimum was 5 and the maximum level of dilution 27. The number of doses per ejaculate was on average 298.5; the lowest number of doses were 68, and the maximum of 730. The average motility of spermatozoa after thawing was 52.8%, minimum 10 and maximum motility 75%.

Trait	Ν	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Std.Dev.
Ejaculate volume, ml	621	4.9	0.5	11.0	1.58
Concentration $(10^6/\text{ml})$	620	1118.7	100.0	2780.0	423.64
Motility, score	620	3.5	1.0	5.0	1.06
Dilution (semen : thinner)	386	14.0	5.0	27.0	3.41
Single ejaculate doses, number	386	298.5	68.0	730.0	122.77
Post-thawing sperm motility	374	52.8	10.0	75.0	11.92

 Table 1. Parameters of descriptive statistics for studied quality traits of bull semen in a threeyear period

Tables 2 and 3 show the average values and errors of the average for the tested traits depending on the season of the year. It is determined that the season significantly influences the following traits: the motility of spermatozoa in native ejaculate, the level of dilution and the motility of spermatozoa after thawing. The remaining three properties (volume of ejaculate, sperm concentration and number of doses) were not under the significant impact of the season.

Seaso n	Ejaculat e volume, average	Ejaculate volume, std. error	Concentrati on (10 ⁶ /ml), average	Concentrati on (10 ⁶ /ml), Std. error	Motility, average	Motility, std. error	N
1	4.7	0.117	1105.7	31.41	3.5	0.078	181
2	5.0	0.108	1170.6	29.23	3.7	0.073	209
3	4.9	0.179	1124.5	48.15	3.4	0.120	77
4	4.9	0.127	1060.4	34.16	3.3	0.085	153
F exp.	0.857 ^{n.s.}		2.08	5 ^{n.s.}	5.93	1***	620

Table 2. The impact of the season on the quality of bull semen in the three-year period (2011-2013)

***(p < 0.001) **(p < 0.01) *(p < 0.05) n.s.(p > 0.05)

Table 3. The impact of the season on the quality of bull semen in the three-year period (2011-2013)

Season	Level of dilution, average	Level of dilution std. error	Number of doses, average	Number of doses, std. error	N	Post- thawing motility, average (%)	Post- thawing motility, std. error (%)	N
1	14.4	0.316	310.0	11.384	115	54.4	1.120	111
2	13.3	0.275	283.5	9.902	152	53.7	0.970	148
3	14.2	0.529	277.4	19.066	41	48.3	1.865	40
4	14.4	0.384	321.7	13.823	78	50.8	1.362	75
F exp.	2.949*		2.449 ^{n.s}		386	3.6	92*	374

***(p<0.001) **(p<0.01) *(p<0.05) n.s.(p>0.05)

The motility of spermatozoids in native ejaculate is the only studied trait on which the season had a very significant effect (p<0.001) as determined by the application of F test. The best average score of motility was achieved during the 2nd season (3.7), while the lowest score was recorded in the 4th season (3.3). After a significant seasonal effect on the mobility of spermatozoa was identified, LSD test was also conducted to determine the significance of the differences between the seasons. The results of the conducted test indicate that the values during the 2nd season were significantly different compared to the other seasons, while between the 1st and the 4th season there was no significant difference.

The average level of dilution was the best during the 1st and 4th season (14.4) while the worst was during the 2nd season (13.3). The analysis of the data showed a significant influence (p<0.05) of the season on the level of dilution. The LSD test was carried out which examined the significance of the differences between the seasons. The results of this test show that the 2nd season significantly

differed (p<0.05) from the 1st and 4th season, while in other cases of mutual comparisons of the seasons, no significant difference was found.

By analysing the collected data, a significant influence (p<0.05) on the motility of sperm count after thawing was established, similar to results presented by *Orgal and Roth (2008)* and *Vilakazi and Webb (2004)*. The average values for this trait were the highest in the 1st season (54.4%), and at lowest in the 3rd season (48.3%). After having determined the significant impact of the season, the LSD test was performed in order to check the significance of the differences between the seasons. The results of this test show that the 3rd season was very different (p<0.01) from the 1st and 2nd seasons, and that the 4th did not differ significantly. Season 4 was significantly different from the 1st season (p<0.05), while in all the remaining cases of mutual comparison there was no significant difference. The influence of the season on the operation of the PKB Corporation's Livestock Centre is reflected in the number of collected ejaculates per season, which is significantly higher during the spring compared to the summer (Table 2). Reduction in the number of collected ejaculates during the summer is carried out with the aim of minimizing the impact of heat stress on animals.

Table 4 shows the values of the examined properties for nine bulls, which they achieved in 2014. These data show that the average volume of the ejaculate was 5.1 ml, with the lowest volume being 1ml, and a maximum of 14 ml. Rasbech (1983) states that the bull's eiaculate volume varies from 2 to 10 ml. The average concentration of spermatozoa was 1067.8x10⁶/ml of ejaculate, the lowest was 30, and the highest as high as 2600×10^6 /ml. The data reported by *Miliković (1995)*. which show an average concentration of spermatozoa of 1200×10^6 /ml, are slightly higher than the results obtained in this analysis of the examined quality traits of bull semen in 2014Motility scores of spermatozoa in native ejaculate ranged from 1 to maximal 5, but the average score was 3.4. The level of sperm dilution was on average 16.3, but the variation of this property was remarkable. The lowest level of dilution was only 9, and the highest was 27. The number of doses ranged from 95 to 920, with an average of 368.8 doses per ejaculate. As stated by Stančić (2014), the modern dose of semen contains $12 - 15 \times 10^6$ progressively motile spermatozoa corresponding to the results in this paper. The average motility of spermatozoa after thawing was 51%, the minimum was 10% and the maximum 75%. It can be concluded that sperm tolerated well the deep freezing, which justifies the use of artificial insemination in cattle breeding.

Trait	Ν	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Std.Dev.
Ejaculate volume, ml	326	5.1	1.0	14.0	1.95
Concentration (10 ⁶ /ml)		1067.8	30.0	2600.0	490.73
Motility, score	326	3.4	1.0	5.0	0.87
Dilution (semen : thinner)	158	16.3	9.0	27.0	3.44
Single ejaculate doses, number	157	368.8	95.0	920.0	145.60
Post-thawing sperm motility, %	153	51.0	10.0	75.0	11.01

Table 4. Parameters of descriptive statistics for studied quality traits of bull semen in year 2014

Table 5. The impact of the collection season on the quality of bull semen (2014)

Season	Ejaculate volume, average	Ejaculate volume, std. error	Concentration (10 ⁶ /ml), average	Concentration (10 ⁶ /ml), Std. error	Motility, average	Motility, std. error	N
1	5.4	0.238	1089.9	60.113	3.3	0.106	67
2	5.2	0.144	1068.3	36.473	3.4	0.064	182
3	4.8	0.222	1047.5	56.074	3.4	0.099	77
F exp.		8 ^{n.s.}		3 ^{n.s.}	0.01	6 ^{n.s.}	326

***(p < 0.001) **(p < 0.01) *(p < 0.05) n.s.(p > 0.05)

Table 6. The impact of the collection season	on the quality of bull semen (2014)
--	-------------------------------------

Season	Level of dilution, average	Level of dilution std. error	N	Number of doses, average	Number of doses, std. error	N	Post- thawing motility, average (%)	Post- thawing motility, std. error (%)	N
1	15.9	0.635	29	394.6	26.833	29	48.3	1.894	29
2	16.8	0.369	86	379.1	15.582	86	48.6	1.107	85
3	15.7	0.521	43	330.0	22.297	42	58.3	1.634	39
F exp.	1.86	9 ^{n.s.}	158	2.1967 ^{n.s.}		157	13.48	85***	153

***(p<0.001) **(p<0.01) *(p<0.05) n.s.(p>0.05)

Tables 5 and 6 show the average values and standard errors for the examined traits depending on the season. Of all the examined traits, the only significant influence of the season was registered on the mobility of spermatozoa after thawing. In the other examined traits, the average values of the ejaculate properties were somewhat better in the first two seasons compared to the third season, but F test showed that these differences were not statistically significant (p>0.05). A very significant influence (p<0.001) of the season on the motility of spermatozoa after thawing was determined, which enabled the LSD test to determine the significance of the differences between the seasons. The results of the test showed that the 3rd

season was very significantly different (p<0.001) from the 1st and 2nd seasons, while between the 1st and 2nd season there was no significant difference. The results of this analysis were probably affected by the shortening of the season in 2014 due to the reduced number of data, however, it is certainly interesting that the motility of spermatozoa after thawing during January and February (season 1) was below the average and amounted to 48.3% and during June and July (season 3) was as high as 58.3% (Table 6). Climatic conditions that define a season can be unpredictable as well as their effect on bulls, therefore testing the impact of the season on semen quality should include many years to make the available data relevant and comparable to other surveys.

Conclusion

Based on the obtained results of the research carried out at the Livestock Centre of the PKB Corporation on the influence of different factors on production and the quality of bull sperm, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- by analysing the data obtained during the three-year and one-year period, it was found that ejaculates on average met basic criteria with a higher or lower variation of properties,

- ejaculate collecting season exhibited a very high impact (p<0.001) on sperm motility in native ejaculate and a significant influence (p<0.05) on the motility of spermatozoa after thawing in a three year period; especially the summer season is highlighted by its negative impact on the motility of spermatozoa.

- in a one-year period, a very significant effect (p<0.001) of the season on the motility of spermatozoa after thawing was recorded; the summer season has shown a positive effect on this property; the justification for such a result probably lies in the specific effect of the climate in the year of testing,

- future studies could analyse the impact of the semen doses from different seasons on the success of the insemination of cows.

Uticaj sezone kolekcionisanja na kvalitet semena bikova holštajn-frizijske rase

Miloš Marinković, Predrag Perišić, Milan M. Petrović, Vlada Pantelić, Marina Lazarević, Nenad Mićić, Vladimir Živković

Rezime

Ovim istraživanjem je obuhvaćeno 9 bikova holštajn-frizijske rase iz Centra za stočarstvo PKB Korporacije i analiziran je uticaj sezone kolekcionisanja ejakulata na određene osobine semena (zapremina ejakulata, koncentracija spermatozoida, pokretljivost spermatozoida u nativnom ejakulatu, razređenje sperme, broj doza od jednog ejakulata, pokretljivost spermatozoida posle odmrzavanja). Za potrebe analize korišćeni su podaci iz trogodišnjeg (2011-2013.god) i jednogodišnjeg perioda (2014. god). U trogodišnjem periodu su na osnovu 621 ejakulata od tri bika izračunate prosečne vrednosti za ispitivane osobine i analiziran je uticaj sezone na ta svojstva. Rezultati pokazuju da je sezona kolekcionisania ejakulata imala vrlo visoko značajan uticaj (p<0.001) na pokretljivost spermatozoida u nativnom ejakulatu, i značajan uticaj (p<0,05) na stepen razređenja ejakulata i pokretljivost spermatozioda posle odmrzavanja. U jednogodišenjem periodu su na osnovu 326 ejakulata od 9 bikova izračunate prosečne vrednosti ispitivanih svojstva i takođe analiziran je uticaj sezone. Sezona kolekcionisanja ejakulata ispoljila je vrlo visoko značajan uticaj (p<0,001) na pokretljivost spermatozoida posle odmrzavanja ali na ostala svojstva nije utvrđen značajan uticaj (p>0,05).

Ključne reči: bikovi, sezona, spermatozoidi, ejakulat, holštajn-frizijska rasa

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the Livestock Centre of the PKB Corporation (Industrijsko naselje bb, 11213 Padinska Skela - Belgrade) for the cooperation with hope that it will continue in the future. Research was financed by the Ministry of Science and Technological Development, Republic of Serbia, project TR 31053.

References

FUENTE L.F., SANCHEZ-GARCIA L., VALLEJO M. (1984): Reproductive characters in Galician Blonds. I. Characters of semen used for artificial insemination. Anal. Fac. Vet. Leon 30: 119–125.

MATHEVON M., BUHR M.M., DEKKERA J.C.M. (1998): Environmental, Management, and Genetic Factors Affecting Semen Production in Holstein Bulls, Journal of Dairy Science Vol. 81, No. 12: 3321 – 3330.

MENENDEZ-BUXADERA A., MORALES J.R., PEREZ A.P., GUERRA Y.D. (1984): Seasonal variation in semen production of Holstein, Zebu and Criollo bulls under artificial insemination conditions in Cuba. Reproduction des ruminants en zone tropicale. Reunion internationale, Pointe a Pitre, Guadeloupe, France, 8–10 June 1983. Les colloques de l'INRA, INRA, Paris, France 20:239–249.

MILJKOVIĆ V. (1995): Veštačko osemenjavanje životinja, udžbenik. Veterinarski fakultet Univerziteta u Beogradu, Beograd.

ORGAL S., ROTH Z. (2008): 13 Seasonal changes in ion concentration, ionchannel expression, acrosome integrity, and bovine sperm quality. Reproduction, Fertility and Development 21(1) 106-107.

RASBECH N. (1983): The Male and Fertility of domestic Animals, INRA, Nouzilly, France.

SCHAWB W., KUPFERSCHMIED H., BACHMANN P. (1987): Factors affecting semen production in bulls. Zuchthygiene 22: 241–246.

SÖDERQUIST L., JANSON L., HÅÅRD M., EINARSSON S. (1996): Influence of season, age, breed and some other factors on the variation in sperm morphological abnormalities in Swedish dairy A.I. bulls. Animal Reproduction Science, Volume 44, Issue 2, Pages 91-98.

STANČIĆ I. (2014): Reprodukcija domaćih životinja, udžbenik. Univerzitet u Novom Sadu, Poljoprivredni fakultet, Novi Sad.

VILAKAZI D.M., WEBB E.C. (2004): Effect of age and season on sperm morphology of Friesland bulls at an artificial insemination centre in South Africa. South African Journal of Animal Science, Volume 34, Issue 1, p. 62 – 69.