



UNIVERZITET U  
Kragujevcu  
AGRONOMSKI FAKULTET U  
ČAČKU



UNIVERSITY OF  
Kragujevac  
FACULTY OF  
AGRONOMY  
ČAČAK

---

# 1st INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON BIOTECHNOLOGY

17–18 March 2023

Faculty of Agronomy in Čačak, University of Kragujevac, Serbia

- PROCEEDINGS -



**1st INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON BIOTECHNOLOGY**  
XXVIII Savetovanje o biotehnologiji sa međunarodnim učešćem

**- PROCEEDINGS -**

**ORGANIZER AND PUBLISHER**

**University of Kragujevac, Serbia**  
**Faculty of Agronomy in Čačak**

**Organizing Committee**

Prof. Dr Pavle Mašković, Serbia, CHAIR; Dr Vesna Milovanović, Serbia SECRETARY;  
MEMBERS: Dr Gorica Paunović, Serbia; Dr Vladimir Dosković, Serbia; Dr Nenad Pavlović, Serbia; Dr Marko Petković, Serbia; Dr Nemanja Miletić, Serbia; Dr Marija Gavrilović, Serbia; Dr Igor Đurović, Serbia; Dr Milevica Bojović, Serbia; Dušan Marković, BSc, Serbia.

**International Programme Committee**

Prof. Dr Vladimir Kurćubić, Serbia, CHAIR; Prof. Dr Tomo Milošević, Serbia; Prof. Dr Leka Mandić, Serbia; Prof. Dr Milun Petrović, Serbia; Dr Vesna Đorđević, Serbia; Prof. Dr Aleksandar Paunović, Serbia; Dr Čedomir Radović, Serbia; Prof. Dr Vladeta Stevović, Serbia; Prof. Dr Snežana Tanasković, Serbia; Prof. Dr Tomislav Trišović, Serbia; Prof. Dr Gordana Šekularac, Serbia; Dr Jelena Mašković, Serbia; Prof. Dr Andrej Bončina, Slovenia; Dr Kristina Kljak, Croatia; Prof. Dr Milomirka Madić, Serbia; Prof. Dr Snežana Bošković-Bogosavljević, Serbia; Prof. Dr Drago Milošević, Serbia; Prof. Dr Goran Dugalić, Serbia; Prof. Dr Milena Đurić, Serbia; Dr Ivan Glišić, Serbia; Prof. Dr Zvonko Antunović, Croatia; Prof. Dr Enisa Omanović-Miklićanin, B&H; Prof. Dr Ljiljana Bošković-Rakočević, Serbia; Prof. Dr Radojica Đoković, Serbia; Prof. Dr Biljana Veljković, Serbia; Prof. Dr Mlađan Garić, Serbia; Prof. Dr Sanja Radonjić, Montenegro; Dr Goran Marković, Serbia; Prof. Dr Željko Vaško, B&H; Dr Jelena Mladenović, Serbia; Prof. Dr Branko Čupina, Serbia; Dr Milan Nikolić, Serbia; Prof. Dr Vladan Bogdanović, Serbia; Dr Dragan Vujić, Serbia; Dr Marijana Pešaković, Serbia; Dr Simeon Rakonjac, Serbia; Dr Mirjana Radovanović, Serbia; Dr Dalibor Tomić, Serbia; Vera Vukosavljević, MSc, Serbia; Dr Vesna Đurović, Serbia; Dr Adrijana Filipović, B&H; Prof. Dr Ivana Janeska-Stamenkoska, North Macedonia; Dragan Đurović, MSc, Serbia; Radmila Ilić, BSc, Serbia; Miloš Marjanović, MSc, Serbia; Jelena Pantović, BSc, Serbia.

**Technical editors**

Prof. Dr Pavle Mašković; Dr Vesna Milovanović; Dušan Marković, BSc

**Print-run: 100**

**Printed by**

Copy Xerox, Cara Dušana 11, 32000 Čačak

ISBN 978-86-87611-88-7

**Year of publication: 2023**

© Faculty of Agronomy in Čačak 2023

## EFFECT OF PROTEASE ADDED IN FOOD AND SEX ON CHICKEN MEAT CLASSES

Vladimir Dosković<sup>1</sup>, Snežana Bogosavljević-Bošković<sup>1</sup>, Zdenka Škrbić<sup>2</sup>,  
Božidar Milošević<sup>3</sup>, Miloš Lukić<sup>2</sup>, Simeon Rakonjac<sup>1</sup>, Veselin Petričević<sup>2</sup>

**Abstract:** The effects of feeding low dietary crude protein with supplemental protease and sex on the weight and percent yields of individual meat classes in broiler chickens of hybrid Cobb 500 were investigated. A total of 300 day-old broiler chicks were fed with one of the following three experimental diets: control group (C), the experimental group I (E-I) contained 4% less crude protein than the control (C) and were supplemented with protease (Ronozyme Pro Act) at a concentration of 200mg/kg feed and the experimental group II (E-II) contained 6% less crude protein and were supplemented with protease (Ronozyme Pro Act) at a concentration of 300mg/kg feed.

Supplementation of protease to diets had no significant effects on weights of individual meat classes ( $P>0.05$ ), as well as the percentage of meat classes, and the only differences were manifested between E-I and E-II ( $P<0.05$ , female broilers from the E-I group were had a higher percentage of class I meat and a lower percentage of class III meat compared to females from the E-II group). The effect of sex was manifested in the weights of all meat classes and the percentage of class I meat and class III meat ( $P<0.05$ ).

**Keywords:** fattening chickens, meat classes, protease, sex

### Introduction

Protein is the second major nutrient (after energy) and the most expensive in the broiler diet. The protein sources in modern poultry diets are mostly derived from soybean products (Dosković et al., 2020).

Lately, the use of proteases as feed enzymes has gained interest. Proteases are added to feed to increase dietary protein hydrolysis and thus enabling improved nitrogen utilization. When animals utilize nitrogen better, there is a

---

<sup>1</sup>University of Kragujevac, Faculty of Agronomy Čačak, Cara Dušana 34, Čačak, Serbia

\*Corresponding author: [vladosko@kg.ac.rs](mailto:vladosko@kg.ac.rs)

<sup>2</sup>Institute for Animal Husbandry, Autoput 16, Poštanski fah 23, 11 080 Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Agriculture, University of Pristina, Kosovska Mitrovica-Zubin Potok-Lešak, 38219 Lešak, Serbia

possibility to decrease the diet protein content and in turn also reduce the content of nitrogen in manure (Oxenboll et al., 2011). The use of protease as a feed additive offers significant environmental benefits: it decreases the pollution of water and air with nitrous compounds leading to eutrophication and acidification, decreases the health risks associated with NH<sub>3</sub> emissions in broiler houses and can contribute significantly to reducing nitrogen emissions from livestock production.

Dietary supplementation of protease to low crude protein and/or metabolisable energy diets showed negligible effects on growth performance, carcass characteristics and physiological responses in broiler chickens under heat stress conditions. The inclusion of microbial protease in broiler diets could be considered by the poultry industry as an effective nutritional tool for reducing metabolisable energy or crude protein, to decrease abdominal fat deposition, improve feed efficiency and increase the profit margin (Law et al., 2019).

Many researchers have investigated the effects of protease and reduction of crude protein levels on carcass characteristics and meat quality of broilers (Mahmood et al., 2017; Park and Kim 2018; Law et al., 2019; Dosković et al., 2020; Dosković et al., 2022;...).

The hypothesis was that protease supplementation would increase the digestibility of crude protein and amino acids in diets that reduced crude protein (groups E-I and E-II) and would lead to the same carcass quality as the standard diet (group C).

### Materials and methods

A total of 300 one-day-old Cobb 500 broiler chickens males and females were randomly divided into 3 experimental units of 100 chickens each: C - control group, standard diet, without protease enzyme; E-I group - 200 mg Ronozyme ProAct/kg feed and 4% less crude protein than the control group and E-II group - 300mg/kg feed and 6% less crude protein than the control respectively.

The fattening period was divided into 3 phases: starter (1 to 21 d) and grower (22 to 35 d) and finisher (36 to 49 d). Feed (mash form) and water were provided *ad libitum* throughout the experimental period.

At the end of the experiment, at 49 day of age, 20 chickens per treatment (10 males and 10 females) were randomly selected for carcass quality measurement.

The selected chickens after slaughter, were dissected into primal cuts (according to the Commission Regulation (EC) No. 543/2008) and divided into meat classes (class I meat: breast, drumsticks and thighs; class II meat: wings and class III meat - back and pelvis), and then the weights of individual meat classes were measured. Based on the weights of the meat class and ready-to-grill carcass weights, the proportions of the meat class in the dressed carcass were determined.

The results were analyzed by Stat Soft Inc Statistica For Windows (Version 7.0., 2006) program using the two-factor analysis of variation (diet treatments and sex). Data were followed by the Tukey test ( $P < 0.05$ ).

### Results and discussion

Data on the weight of meat classes, by feeding treatments and sexes, are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Weight of different classes of chicken meat on the 49th day of fattening, gr

Treatment			Class I (breast, thighs, drumsticks)	Class II (wings)	Class III (back, pelvis)
Groups	Sex				
C	Male	X	1630.47 <sup>a</sup>	285.43 <sup>a</sup>	577.61 <sup>a</sup>
		Sd	72.86	15.49	32.00
	Female	X	1373.74 <sup>b</sup>	238.33 <sup>b</sup>	481.88 <sup>b</sup>
		Sd	93.36	9.57	35.71
E-I	Male	X	1544.84 <sup>a</sup>	275.06 <sup>a</sup>	586.46 <sup>a</sup>
		Sd	99.46	11.96	32.37
	Female	X	1407.61 <sup>b</sup>	229.24 <sup>b</sup>	453.03 <sup>b</sup>
		Sd	91.71	15.58	40.60
E-II	Male	X	1561.07 <sup>a</sup>	277.90 <sup>a</sup>	583.68 <sup>a</sup>
		Sd	104.18	20.37	64.29
	Female	X	1306.81 <sup>b</sup>	229.77 <sup>b</sup>	480.67 <sup>b</sup>
		Sd	35.94	14.81	32.50

X - Average, Sd - Standard deviation

Different superscripts (a, b) indicate a statistical significant differences between groups ( $P < 0.05$ )

Analysis of the data in Table 1. showed that no significant differences were observed in the weight of all class meat between dietary treatments ( $P > 0.05$ ). Similar results, that the mass of certain parts of the carcass and meat classes has no effect on reducing the content of raw proteins, with the addition of protease enzymes are indicated by Jawad (2017), Dosković et al. (2020), Matshogo et al.

(2021),... Male chickens had a larger mass before slaughter compared to female chickens, as well as a larger ready-to-grill carcass weights, which was reflected in the mass of all meat classes (class I meat: breast, drumsticks and thighs; class II meat: wings, and class III meat back and pelvis) ( $P < 0.05$ ). Brewer et al. (2012), Dosković et al. (2020) and Kamporn et al. (2022) state that the sexes of the chickens have a significant influence on the weight of the primal carcass cuts, which make up the meat classes.

Table 2. The percentage of different classes of chicken meat in the dressed carcass on the 49th day of fattening, %

Treatment			Class I (breast, thighs, drumsticks)	Class II (wings)	Class III (back, pelvis)
Groups	Sex				
C	Male	X	63.14 <sup>ab</sup>	11.06	22.38 <sup>ab</sup>
		Sd	1.45	0.53	1.04
	Female	X	63.11 <sup>ab</sup>	10.97	22.14 <sup>ab</sup>
		Sd	1.25	0.55	0.98
E-I	Male	X	61.72 <sup>b</sup>	11.00	23.45 <sup>a</sup>
		Sd	1.50	0.26	0.86
	Female	X	64.81 <sup>a</sup>	10.58	20.86 <sup>b</sup>
		Sd	2.07	0.85	1.49
E-II	Male	X	62.19 <sup>b</sup>	11.08	23.21 <sup>a</sup>
		Sd	1.61	0.73	1.38
	Female	X	62.35 <sup>b</sup>	10.95	22.91 <sup>a</sup>
		Sd	1.95	0.51	1.16

X - Average, Sd - Standard deviation

Different superscripts (a, b) indicate a statistically significant difference between groups ( $P < 0.05$ )

Based on the analysis of the data from table 2, it can be concluded that the only change in the concentration of the protease enzyme (200 mg Ronozyme ProAct/kg feed and 4% less crude protein than the control group or 300mg/kg feed and 6% less crude protein than the control) had a significant effect ( $P < 0.05$ ) on the percentage of class I meat and class III meat, while there were no differences between the standard feeding treatment (C group) and the E-I group, i.e. E-II group. Female broilers from the E-I group had a higher percentage of class I meat and a lower percentage of class III meat compared to females from the E-II group. In similar research, Dosković et al. (2020) report a significant effect of protease ( $P < 0.05$ ) in the Master Gris hybrid on the percentage yield of wings - class II meat, while Mahendran et al. (2022) determined that protease supplementation to broiler fed with a corn-soybean

meal based normal and low crude protein diets did not have any effect on carcass characteristics.

The effect of sex was manifested in the percentage of class I meat and class III meat only in the E-I group ( $P < 0.05$ ), while there was no effect of sex on the percentage of class II meat ( $P > 0.05$ ). Namely, female chickens in the E-I group had a higher percentage of class I meat, and a lower percentage of class III meat compared to male broilers. Similar results about the percentage of class II meat are reported by Young et al. (2001) and Kamporn et al. (2022), while Bogosavljević-Bošković et al. (2011) and Dosković et al. (2020) determined that the percent yield of class I and III meat was not affected by sex ( $P > 0.05$ ).

### **Conclusion**

Based on the analysis of data on weight and percentage of individual class meat, it can be concluded that the proposed substitution of the standard diet for fattening broilers with a diet that contained 4% less crude protein than the control (C) and was supplemented with protease (Ronozyme Pro Act) at a concentration of 200mg/kg feed did not affect the examined carcass quality parameters ( $P > 0.05$ ), consequently, differences appeared only in the percent yield of class I and III meat between E-I (200mg Ronozyme Pro Act/kg feed) and E-II (300mg Ronozyme Pro Act/kg feed) feeding treatments ( $P < 0.05$ ).

The sex of the chicken affected the mass of all classes of meat and the percentage of class I meat and class III meat ( $P < 0.05$ ), while there were no differences only in the percentage of class II meat ( $P > 0.05$ ).

### **Acknowledgement**

This study was funded by the Ministry of Science, Technological Development and Innovation of Republic of Serbia, No. 451-03-47/2023-01/200088 and No. 451-03-47/2023-01/200022.

### **References**

Bogosavljević-Bošković Snežana, Mitrović S., Dosković V., Rakonjac S., Kurćubić V. (2011). Carcass composition and chemical characteristics of meat from broiler chickens reared under intensive and semi-intensive systems. *Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry, 3<sup>rd</sup> International Congress “New Perspectives and Challenges of Sustainable Livestock Production”*, Belgrade,

- October 5-7, 2011; 7(4), 1595-1603. Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia, Institute for Animal Husbandry.
- Brewer V.B., Kuttappan V.A., Emmert J.L., Meullenet J.F.C., Owens C.M. (2012). Big-bird programs: Effect of strain, sex, and debone time on meat quality of broilers. *Poultry Science* 91, 248-254.
- Commission Regulation (EC) No 543/2008. OJ L 157/46, 17.6.2008.
- Dosković V., Bogosavljević-Bošković Snežana, Škrbić Zdenka, Lukić M., Rakonjac S., Petričević V. (2020). Effect of protease added in food on chicken carcass quality. Book of Proceedings XI International Scientific Agriculture Symposium "Agrosym 2020", Jahorina, October 8-9, 2022; (editor in chief Dusan Kovacevic), 829-834. East Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Faculty of Agriculture.
- Dosković V., Bogosavljević-Bošković Snežana, Škrbić Zdenka, Milošević B., Lukić M., Rakonjac S., Petričević V. (2022). Effects of protease, duration of fattening period and sex of broilers on carcass conformation measures. Book of Proceedings [Електронски извор]/XIII International Scientific Agriculture Symposium "Agrosym 2022", Jahorina, October 06-09, 2022; (editor in chief Dusan Kovacevic), 1051-1056. East Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Faculty of Agriculture.
- Jawad Al-juboori (2017). Effect of protease supplementation in broiler feed on growth performance, carcass yield and total nitrogen retention in fecal matter and litter. Stephen F. Austin State University, Electronic Theses and Dissertations, 142. <https://scholarworks.sfasu.edu/etds/142>
- Kamporn K., Deeden B., Klompanya A., Setakul S., Chaosap C., Sittigaipong R. (2022). Effect of strain and gender on production performance, carcass characteristics and meat quality of broiler chickens. *International Journal of Agricultural Technology* 18(2), 567-578.
- Law F.L., Zulkifli I., Soleimani A.F., Liang J.B., Awad E.A. (2019). Effects of protease supplementation of low protein and/or energy diets on growth performance and blood parameters in broiler chickens under heat stress condition. *Italian Journal of Animal Science* 18 (1), 679-689.
- Mahendran S., Ramanathan A., Ponnuvel P. (2022). Influence of protease supplementation on carcass traits of broilers fed with normal and low protein diets. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Biological Sciences* 9(4), 109-117.
- Mahmood T., Mirza M.A., Nawaz H., Shahid M. (2017). Effect of different exogenous proteases on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, and



- carcass response in broiler chickens fed poultry by-product meal-based diets. *Livestock Science* 200, 71-75.
- Matshogo T.B., Mnisi C.M., Mlambo V. (2021). Effect of pre-treating dietary green seaweed with proteolytic and fibrolytic enzymes on physiological and meat quality parameters of broiler chickens. *Foods* 10, 1862. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10081862>
- Oxenboll K.M., Pontoppidan K., Fru-Nji F. (2011). Use of a protease in poultry feed offers promising environmental benefits. *International Journal of Poultry Science* 10(11), 842-848.
- Park J.H., Kim I.H. (2018). Effects of a protease and essential oils on growth performance, blood cell profiles, nutrient retention, ileal microbiota, excreta gas emission, and breast meat quality in broiler chicks. *Poultry Science* 97(8), 2854-2860.
- Stat Soft Inc Statistica For Windows, Version 7.0. (2006). Computer program manual Tulsa.
- Young L.L., Northcutt J.K., Buhr R.J., Lyon C.E., Ware G.O. (2001). Effects of age, sex, and duration of postmortem aging on percentage yield of parts from broiler chicken carcasses. *Poultry Science* 80, 376-379.